

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.**

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
29 March 2001 (29.03.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 01/22728 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: H04N 5/225

[IN/US]; Apartment 15D, 560 Riverside Drive, New York, NY 10027 (US). KARMAKAR, Amruta [—/US]; Department of Computer Science, Columbia University, 450 Mudd Hall, New York, NY 10027 (US).

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US00/25801

(74) Agents: TANG, Henry et al.; Baker Botts LLP, 30 Rockefeller Plaza, New York, NY 10112-0228 (US).

(22) International Filing Date:
20 September 2000 (20.09.2000)

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(25) Filing Language: English

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/154,722 20 September 1999 (20.09.1999) US
60/170,392 13 December 1999 (13.12.1999) US


(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK [US/US]; 116th Street and Broadway, New York, NY 10027 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): NAYAR, Shree, K.

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR GENERATING SPHERICAL MOSAIC IMAGES



WO 01/22728 A1

(57) Abstract: Systems and methods for generating an omnidirectional mosaic image are presented in which a number of images are acquired about an axis of rotational. The images have a large field of view along the axis of rotation and a small field of view or image width in a second direction. The images can be image strips, formed from non-parallel rays directed onto an image sensor (1008), which are formed from a narrow width of parallel rays directed onto imaging sensor (1008). The images are combined to form a spherical mosaic. In the case of overlapping image strips, image combination can be performed by identifying common features in the overlapping regions and aligning consecutive image strips accordingly. A blending algorithm can then be used to improve image fidelity in the overlapping regions.



Published:

- *With international search report.*
- *Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments.*

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

20/PYD 1

SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR GENERATING SPHERICAL MOSAIC IMAGES

The present application claims the benefit of United States Provisional application United States Provisional application 60/154,722 filed on September 20, 5 1999 and entitled "Omnidirectional Mosaicing Using Curved Mirrors" and United States Provisional application 60/170,392 filed on December 13, 1999 entitled "360 x 360 Mosaics".

The subject matter of this application was supported in part by a grant from DARPA ONR/ARPA MURI, Grant No. N00014-95-1-0601 and, therefore, the 10 United States government may have certain rights to the invention.

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates generally to digital imaging and more particularly relates to the acquisition and combination of a plurality of image segments to generate spherical mosaic image data.

15 **Background of the Invention**

The generation of high resolution, large field of view images is important in many areas, such as virtual reality, computer vision, robotic navigation and the like. It is generally known that mosaic images can be computed by stitching together multiple images, where the individual images correspond to different views of the 20 same scene. Generally, the different views have approximately the same viewpoint but correspond to different sections of the scene of interest. A variety of techniques for image mosaicing are known. Such techniques generally employ an imaging system that uses a conventional imaging lens to form an image of the scene. A problem with conventional lenses is that they are limited in their fields of view in 25 both image directions. Therefore, to construct a panorama or a complete spherical image, a large number of images need to be captured and processed. In addition, to

capture a complete spherical view the imaging systems need to scan the scene in three dimensions without missing any substantial areas. This is difficult to accomplish using conventional camera systems.

5 An alternate approach is to use a wide angle lens such as a fish eye lens. This approach reduces the number of images since the field of view captured in each image is significantly larger than in the case of conventional images. However, when a fish eye lens is used, the resolution of the image is uniformly distributed over the increased field of view and as a result, such systems are not well suited for high resolution mosaicing.

10 Catadioptric imaging systems which use a combination of mirrors and lenses to form an image are also known. The use of mirrors provides the designer great flexibility in terms of manipulating the field of view and resolution characteristic of the imaging system. Catadioptric systems have shown promise for wide angle imaging.

15 Despite their known benefits, known catadioptric imaging systems cannot capture a complete spherical view within a single image. The field of view is typically limited by two factors, namely, a blindspot that results from the mirror (or mirrors) seeing the camera itself and the finite extent of the mirror (or mirrors) that corresponds to a truncation of the field of view. Furthermore, as the field of view of 20 a catadioptric system is increased, such as by increasing the extent of the imaging mirrors, the resolution of the image is naturally reduced. This is because in any given image detector (CCD, film, etc.) the number of picture elements is fixed and an increase in the field of view corresponds to each pixel subtending a larger solid angle with respect to the captured scene.

25 Several variations of wide angle imaging systems are known. As shown in Figure 2, a first system includes an image sensor 202, an objective (imaging) lens 204 and a curved (convex or concave) mirror 206. The curved mirror 206 can have a variety of shapes. In the case of a hyperboloidal mirror, if the entrance pupil of the imaging lens is placed at the external (far) focus F'_1 of the mirror 206, only the scene 30 rays that approach the near focus F_1 of the mirror 206 are imaged by the system. In this case, the near focus of the mirror is the center of projection of the complete

catadioptric system.

More compact catadioptric designs are possible using the concept of optical folding which uses multiple mirrors. As shown in Figure 3, the near focus F_2 of the secondary mirror 302 is made to coincide with the far focus F' of the primary mirror 304. Then, if the entrance pupil P of the imaging lens 306 is placed at the far focus F'_2 of secondary mirror 302, the imaging system captures only those scene rays that approach the near focus F_1 of the primary mirror 304, making it the center of projection of the complete imaging system.

A variety of mirror configurations may be used in catadioptric systems, with the mirror shapes being hyperboloidal, ellipsoidal, and paraboloidal. If the requirement that the center of projection should be a single point is relaxed, a variety of other shapes can also be used, such as spherical and conical. In fact, the mirror profiles are not confined to first order or second order profiles but can be higher order polynomials that permit the manipulation of the resolution characteristics of the imaging system.

Catadioptric imaging systems include one or more curved mirrors in the imaging system and the mirrors may be concave or convex. In the case of multiple mirrors, there is at least one curved mirror and one or more of the others could be planar mirrors.

Another embodiment of a catadioptric imaging system is illustrated in Figure 4. This system includes a parabolic mirror 401, a relay lens 404, an imaging lens 406 and an image detector 408. The relay lens 404 is typically an achromatic lens with a short focal length. The distances between the relay lens 404, the imaging lens 406 and the parabolic mirror 402 are chosen such that only principal rays of light that are orthographically reflected by the parabolic mirror are imaged by the system. These reflected rays correspond to scene rays that approach the focus of the parabola.

Typically, the image captured using a wide angle catadioptric system looks like that illustrated in Figure 5. This image is donut shaped and has two circles that are of the limits of the imaging system's field of view. The first inner circle B' corresponds to the blindspot that is caused by the primary mirror being obstructed in its view by other mirrors, the imaging lens, or detector. The second (outer) circle L'

of the field of view results from the finite extent of the mirrors. As can be seen, such a field of view is 360 degrees in one dimension and less than 180 degrees in the other. It should be noted that the imaging lens and detector need not necessary be used to image the complete field of view captured by the mirrors. Instead, they may be used 5 to image a smaller section of the donut image in order to increases image resolution (lower the solid angle imaged by each pixel).

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides systems and methods for using an imaging system to efficiently scan a complete spherical view of a scene.

10 In accordance with the present invention, slice image data is acquired by receiving rays over a large field of view in a first direction and a small imaging width in a second direction. At least a portion of the received rays are parallel with each other with respect to the second direction. That portion of the rays which are parallel in the imaging width are directed upon an area of an imaging sensor. Preferably, the 15 directing step includes interposing at least one mirror and at least one lens between a point where the rays are received and the imaging sensor.

The method of acquiring slice image data provides for the large field of view to be approximately 360 degrees. In this case, the area of the imaging sensor receiving the rays is an annular ring having a radial width.

20 The methods for acquiring slice image data can be used by a slice image camera. A slice camera generally includes an imaging sensor, at least one mirror receiving rays over a large field of view in a first direction and a small imaging width in a second direction, and at least one lens. The at least one lens receives at least a portion of the rays which are incident on the at least one mirror and directs only the 25 portion of the rays which are parallel to each other in the second direction to an area of the imaging sensor.

Numerous arrangements of mirrors and lenses can be employed in forming the slice image camera. For example, a conical mirror can be used in cooperation with a telecentric lens. In addition, multi-mirror configurations are also provided which can 30 reduce the size of the slice camera.

In accordance with a first method of generating a spherical mosaic image, a plurality of image sections are acquired about an axis of rotation. The image sections have a large field of view along the axis of rotation and a small field of view (non-parallel rays) or width (parallel rays) in a second direction. Consecutive images about 5 the axis of rotation are then combined to form the spherical mosaic image.

The images can take the form of image strips, which are formed from a narrow field of view of convergent rays, or image slices, which are formed from a narrow width of parallel rays. The image strip typically corresponds to about 180 degrees or 360 degrees in one dimension of the field of view and only a few degrees 10 in the second direction.

If the rotational position is known, consecutive images can be concatenated using the known rotational positions to form a substantially continuous spherical image about the axis of rotation.

If the rotational position of the images is not known, the images must take the 15 form of overlapping strips. In this case, common features are identified within the overlapping regions of consecutive image strips and the strips are aligned accordingly. Preferably, a blending algorithm is used to improve image fidelity in the overlapping regions.

An imaging system for acquiring an omnidirectional mosaic image in 20 accordance with the present invention includes an image slice camera having a large field of view in a first direction and a small width in a second direction. The image slice camera receives parallel rays of light which are incident on the camera width and directs the parallel rays onto an area of an imaging sensor. A rotational drive system is operatively coupled to the image slice camera. The rotational drive system 25 rotates the image slice camera along an axis of rotation which is substantially parallel to the first direction. A control unit is operatively coupled to both the rotational drive system and image slice camera. The control unit receives the image slice data from the image slice camera and records corresponding rotational positional information of the rotational drive system. The control unit acquires a plurality of image slices at 30 known rotational positions about the axis of rotation and concatenates the image slices to form a spherical mosaic image data. An output device is generally coupled

to the control unit for displaying a spherical mosaic image from the spherical mosaic image data. The output device can take the form of a video output device or hardcopy, such as a printer.

5 A slice camera can be formed with at least one mirror receiving the parallel rays and directing the rays through the entrance pupil of at least one lens and onto an imaging sensor. The mirror can take several forms, such as a non-convex mirror section or a conical mirror section.

10 Multi-mirror embodiments can also be employed with combinations of various mirror shapes that direct only the parallel rays incident on the camera to the imaging sensor. For example, a slice camera can be formed with a first mirror section receiving the parallel rays, a second mirror section and an imaging sensor. The second mirror is positioned to receive the parallel rays from the first mirror and direct the rays through an entrance pupil of a lens and onto an imaging sensor. The 15 second mirror section can exhibit a near focus and a far focus. In this case the first mirror is positioned to project the received rays through the near focus and onto the second mirror section which directs the rays to the image sensor located at a position proximate the far focus. Preferably, the near focus is located in front of the first mirror section and the far focus is located behind the first mirror section.

20 The imaging sensor for the system can be any light sensitive device such as a CCD imager, a CMOS imager or photographic film. The imaging sensor should have image pixels distributed in at least two dimensions (e.g., X-Y) and the parallel rays of the image sheets are directed onto a substantial portion of the sensor. The distribution of pixels on the imaging sensor can be distributed only within, or concentrated within, the portion where the rays are directed, such as in an annular ring pattern. 25 This facilitates lower cost imaging sensors (less pixels used) or higher resolution imaging sensors (same number of pixels concentrated into the active portion of the sensor) being formed. In the case of a video system, the output can be continuously captured while the imaging system is rotated with respect to a convenient point of rotation.

30 Since the image sections generally have 180 degrees or greater field of view in one dimension, a single rotation of 180 degrees or 360 degrees (about a single axis)

of the slice camera (or strip camera) can provide a complete spherical scan of the scene of interest. The rotation can be accomplished by mounting the slice camera on a tripod stand, a motorized rotary stage or by simply holding the imaging system by hand and rotating it around an axis.

5 The slice camera has a center of projection and the axis of rotation can be radially offset from the center of projection. In this case, stereoscopic image data can be acquired in a single rotation about the axis of rotation. For instance, two views can be presented as a single image where the two views are color coded. Then, using eye glasses with different color filters for the left and right images, the observer can 10 perceive the depths of objects in the scene. Alternatively, the observer can wear a head-mounted display and the two images can be provided to the left and right displays of the head-mounted display. In this mosaic, the stereo baseline (in the case of the human visual system, this would be the distance between the eyes) which is determined by the radial offset of the camera from the axis of rotation remains 15 substantially constant over the entire mosaic.

Preferably, a mapping algorithm is provided that enables a user to generate perspective views from the omnidirectional mosaics. Such views can be used to navigate around the 360 degree x 360 degree field of view. A variety of existing mapping techniques can be used to map from spherical mosaics to perspective views.

20 The captured stereoscopic data can be used to compute an omnidirectional (e.g., 360 degree x 360 degree) depth map of the scene. Hence, a robust estimate of the coordinates of each scene point can be computed from the captured data.

25 The rotation of the imaging system and the computation of the omnidirectional data may be accomplished in real-time using high speed motors and high performance processors. This enables real-time systems where the information (be it regular mosaic, stereo mosaic or depth map) is computed substantially continuously and hence can vary with changes in the scene (such as moving objects).

30 The present invention provides an omnidirectional brightness/color image of a scene, an omnidirectional stereoscopic image of a scene and an omnidirectional depth map of a scene using a single 180 degree or 360 degree rotation of a catadioptric imaging system. Though it is desired to compute complete omnidirectional visual

data, the field of view does not have to be a complete sphere but can include small blindspots (for instance, at the poles of the sphere). In addition, to improve image resolution, it may be desirable to only form the mosaic over a portion of the spherical field of view. Hence, the term omnidirectional data as used herein means data 5 corresponding to a substantial portion of a spherical view and data corresponding to panoramic data over a substantial portion of a spherical view.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Figures 1A through 1D illustrated representations of omnidirectional data in a different coordinate systems;

10 Figure 2 is a first embodiment of a catadioptric wide angle imaging system, known in the prior art;

Figure 3 is an alternate embodiment of a catadioptric wide angle imaging system using a primary mirror and secondary mirror, known in the prior art;

15 Figure 4 is an alternate embodiment of a catadioptric wide angle imaging system, known in the prior art;

Figure 5 is a diagram illustrating an exemplary imaging area produced by a catadioptric imaging system known in the art;

20 Figures 6A and 6B are perspective views illustrating a spherical imaging area generated by combining a small number of wide angle images from a catadioptric imaging system;

Figure 7A is a perspective view of an image "strip";

Figure 7B is a graph illustrating the mapping of an image "strip" of the spherical image of Figure 7A onto a plane;

25 Figures 8A and 8B illustrate that mapping of an image "slice" of a spherical image onto a plane, respectively;

Figure 9 is a schematic diagram of a catadioptric imaging system used for the acquisition of image strips in connection with the practice of the present spherical mosaicing imaging method;

30 Figure 10 is a schematic diagram of an alternate embodiment of a catadioptric imaging system used for the acquisition of image strips in connection with the

practice of the present spherical mosaicing imaging method;

Figure 11 is a schematic diagram of an alternate embodiment of a catadioptric imaging system used for the acquisition of image strips in connection with the practice of the present spherical mosaicing imaging method;

5 Figure 12 is a graph illustrating the operating principle of acquiring an image "slice" in accordance with the present invention;

Figure 13 is a graph illustrating the application of a "hat shaped" mirror used for acquiring an image "slice" in accordance with the present invention;

10 Figure 14 is a graph illustrating the application of a conic mirror used for acquiring an image "slice" in accordance with the present invention;

Figure 15 is a graphical representation illustrating the mapping of image slice data points onto a region of an image sensor;

Figure 16A is an intensity graph illustrating the data points acquired by an image strip along the outer circle of the graph in Figure 15;

15 Figure 16 B is an intensity graph illustrating the data points acquired by an image slice, which is mapped into the region between the inner circle and the outer circle of the graph in Figure 15;

Figure 17 is a first embodiment of a catadioptric imaging system for acquiring image "slices" using a conical mirror in accordance with the present invention;

20 Figure 18 is an alternate embodiment of a catadioptric imaging system for acquiring image "slices" using a non-convex mirror in accordance with the present invention;

Figure 19 is an alternate embodiment of a catadioptric imaging system for acquiring image "slices" using a stacked mirror in accordance with the present 25 invention;

Figures 20A and 20B are alternate embodiments of catadioptric imaging systems for acquiring image "slices" using multiple mirrors in accordance with the present invention;

30 Figure 21 is an embodiment of a system for acquiring image slices using a line detector;

Figure 22 is a block diagram of an imaging system in accordance with the

present invention and illustrating the rotation of a slice camera offset from the axis of rotation to acquire stereoscopic image data in a single rotation;

Figure 23 is a flow chart illustrating a method of generating a spherical mosaic using overlapping strip images;

5 Figure 24 is a flow chart illustrating a method of generating a spherical mosaic using slice images or non-overlapping strip images;

Figures 25A and 25B are diagrams illustrating the mapping of image data to the spherical mosaic;

10 Figure 26 is a perspective diagram illustrating the projection of a portion of the spherical mosaic into a plane to generate a perspective image;

Figures 27A-C are top view diagrams illustrating the acquisition of left and right side images as an imaging system is rotated about a point which is radially offset from the center of projection;

15 Figure 28 A is a perspective view illustrating exemplary changes in observation point a human viewer can exhibit;

Figure 28B is a perspective view illustrating the generation of left and right perspective views from left and right mosaics, respectively;

Figures 29A and 29B illustrate an exemplary point in a scene as viewed from the left and right mosaics, respectively;

20 Figure 29C is a perspective model illustrating the calculation of the depth of a scene point;

Figure 30 illustrates the displacement of a point in the scene over time in a series of acquired images;

25 Figure 31 is a perspective model illustrating the calculation of the depth of a scene point using the plurality of images of Figure 30;

Figure 32 is an exemplary slice image acquired by a slice camera in accordance with the present invention;

Figures 33A and 33B are left and right spherical mosaic images, respectively, formed in accordance with the present invention; and

30 Figures 34A and 34B are left and right perspective images, respectively, formed in accordance with the present invention.

Detailed Description of Preferred Embodiments

The present systems and methods are directed to the generation of omnidirectional mosaic images using acquired image "strips" or image "slices." Referring to Figure 7A, an image strip is a section of the spherical image having a narrow field of view which is generated by converging rays incident on the imaging system being directed onto an imaging surface. Figure 7B illustrates the mapping of the spherical image strip onto the θ - ϕ plane. As used herein, an image slice 800, which is graphically illustrated in Figure 8A, is formed by parallel rays incident on the imaging system being acquired by an image sensor over a thin width of the spherical image. As illustrated in Figure 8B, because the image slice is acquired from a narrow width of parallel rays, the image slice maps into a straight line 802 in the θ - ϕ plane. It will be appreciated that the term rays as used herein is not limited to radiation in the visible light spectrum but generally refers to high-frequency electromagnetic radiation, including but not limited to visible light, infrared radiation, ultraviolet radiation, x-ray radiation and the like.

As used herein, the term image section will be used to refer to both image slices and image strips. Further, the term "camera" will be used to refer to a photo imaging subsystem, from the point rays are incident on the sub-system through to the imaging detector, regardless of the nature of the optics interposed therein. A slice camera refers to a photo imaging subsystem for acquiring image slice data from incident parallel rays, and a strip camera refers to a photo imaging subsystem for acquiring image strip data from incident rays.

Although the present invention does provide for full 360 x 360 spherical mosaic imaging, it is not limited to applications with complete spherical mosaics. Accordingly, the term omnidirectional mosaic and omnidirectional data as used herein refers to images and data corresponding to a substantial portion of a spherical view and/or data corresponding to panoramic data over a substantial portion of a sphere.

To compute omnidirectional visual information, a convenient representation or data structure must be chosen. The omnidirectional data may be represented in a variety of ways, some of which are shown in Figure 1. Each point can be represented

by the polar angles (θ, ϕ) . The resulting data may be stored in the form of (a) a discretized sphere, (b) a discretized cube or cuboid, (c) a discretized cylinder with top and bottom faces or in the form of (d) a spherical panorama that is a two-dimensional representation of the (θ, ϕ) space. The exact representation is not critical to the 5 imaging techniques and mosaicing algorithms presented assuming that all stored points, irrespective of the representation, can be mapped to their (θ, ϕ) coordinates.

Figure 6A illustrates the field of view of a typical catadioptric imaging system on a unit sphere. The circle B on the sphere corresponds to the blindspot and the circle L is the outer limit of the field of view. As shown in Figure 6B, three 10 overlapping images from a conventional catadioptric imaging system may be sufficient to capture the complete sphere by using the orientations (directions of the optical axis of the imaging system) O_1 , O_2 and O_3 .

The overlapping image regions will generally differ from each other slightly 15 due to inaccuracies in the imaging system, illumination changes during image capture, and variations in the exposures of the three images. To handle such variations, a blending algorithm can be used to calculate the brightness and or color at each pixel in an overlap region of the spherical field of view as a weighted combination of the pixel's brightness and or color in the individual view. The weights can be the shortest distances between the pixel under consideration and the 20 boundaries of the individual fields of view. This is illustrated as d_1 , d_2 , and d_3 in Figure 6B. Therefore, the brightness at the point shown in Figure 6B may be calculated using the known equation:

$$I = \frac{d_1 I_1 + d_2 I_2 + d_3 I_3}{d_1 + d_2 + d_3} \quad (1)$$

For a typical catadioptric imaging system, a minimum of three views would be 25 needed to capture the complete sphere of view.

Preferably, the spherical mosaic is generated using image sections which are acquired in a single rotation of a camera about a single axis of rotation. In the case of an image strip, the field of view of the imaging system is reduced to only a few

degrees in one dimension. For example, a strip can be 360 degrees in one dimension and of relatively small field of view in the other dimension. In this case, a rotation of 180 degrees is sufficient to capture the entire region. Alternatively, the strip can exhibit 180 degrees in one dimensions and again a relatively small field of view in the 5 other dimension. In this latter case, the image resolution is improved and a 360 x 360 image is acquired by a full 360 degree rotation of the imaging camera.

Rotation of the catadioptric imaging camera can be accomplished in a number of ways. For example, the system can be rotated using a motorized stage with a single axis of rotation. In this case, the rotation between images can be precisely 10 determined and performed automatically via computer control. Alternatively, the imaging camera can be mounted on a tripod and manually rotated about the axis of rotation. In this case, the rotational position of the camera may be known, such as by using a sensor or by reading from a rotation dial on the tripod, or it may be unknown. Alternatively, the system may be rotated approximately about its center of projection 15 by a human by simply holding it in his/her hand. In this case, the rotation between consecutive images is unknown and must be automatically recovered from the captured images.

Figure 9 shows an embodiment of a catadioptric imaging system that produces a thin 360 degree strip of the field of view. The system includes a section of a curved 20 mirror 902 which is placed in front of an imaging lens 904 that directs the convergent rays of the strip onto an imaging sensor 906, such as a two-dimensional CCD detector. An advantage of this system is that the resolution of the image of strip can 25 be significantly greater than in the case of a pure lens systems such as a fish eye lens. This arises from the fact that in the case of curved mirrors, the catadioptric image increases in resolution approaching the edge (boundary L) of the field of view. It has been shown that the resolution of the imaging system is:

$$\frac{dA}{d\Omega} = \frac{r^2 + z^2}{(c-z)^2 + r^2} \frac{dA}{dw} \quad (2)$$

where c is the distance between the near and far foci of the mirror 902, which is also

the distance between the center of projection and the entrance pupil 908 of the imaging lens 904. Therefore, the resolution of the complete imaging system increases with r and is maximum at the periphery of the field of view (which corresponds to the boundary L on the sphere). Therefore, unlike a fish eye lens, the catadioptric system 5 of Figure 9 captures an image strip that has highest resolution within the 180 degree or 360 degree strip.

An alternate embodiment of a catadioptric imaging system is shown in Figure 10. Referring to Figure 10, the rays from within the field of view 1000 of the strip are directed onto a section of a parabolic mirror 1002 and to an image sensor 1008.

10 The mirror 1002 directs the rays onto a relay lens 1004 which focuses the rays through an objective lens 1006 and onto the imaging sensor 1008. In this case, the resolution of the catadioptric system is known to be:

$$\frac{dA}{d\Omega} = (r^2 + z^2) \frac{dA}{d\omega} \quad (3)$$

where, $z(r)$ is the equation of the profile of the parabola:

$$15 \quad z = \frac{h^2 - r^2}{2h} \quad (4)$$

where, h is the parameter of the parabola. For instance, the resolution of the image for scene rays that are 90 degrees with respect to the optical axis is twice that for the scene ray that is along the optical axis. Hence, the thin strip is guaranteed to have the maximum image resolution.

20 Thin-strip catadioptric imaging systems can also be constructed using multiple mirrors. Multiple mirrors can further reduce packaging size as well as compensate for the slight field curvature that remains in a single mirror system. Such a system is shown in Figure 11. A convex primary mirror 1102 and a concave secondary mirror 1104 can be used to introduce field curvatures in opposing directions that tend to 25 cancel the effects of each other as the rays are directed to the imaging sensor 1106. As in the conventional systems, if the mirrors have conic sections, they can be arranged so as to ensure that the center of projection of the imaging system is the near

focus of the primary mirror.

Thin-strip cameras can be constructed from a variety of mirrors. The mirrors can be parabolic, hyperbolic, ellipsoidal, conical, spherical or planar. Alternatively, the mirrors can be higher order surfaces that are used to achieve greater resolution 5 within the strip. When the mirrors are not parabolic, hyperbolic or ellipsoidal, the center projection is most likely not a single point but rather a locus of viewpoints. In such cases, the locus is expected to be compact enough to be approximated by a single point.

The acquired image sections are combined to form a resulting omnidirectional 10 mosaic image. If the camera rotation is performed in a controlled manner such that the rotational position of each image section is known, the image sections or slices can simply be concatenated to form a spherical image. In those cases where the rotational position is not known, feature matching can be performed in overlapping strip regions to identify common features. These common features are then used as 15 reference points to combine the overlapping image strips. In the region of overlap, a blending algorithm can be employed to restore the color and intensity of the image data.

While catadioptric imaging systems capable of acquiring image strips are known, this is not the case with the acquisition of an image slice. As noted above, in 20 the case of the image slice, only rays from the source which are parallel to each other with respect to the direction of the slice thickness and are incident on the camera are detected. Thus, the catadioptric imaging system must be modified such that only those parallel rays of the image slice thickness are directed to the entrance pupil of an 25 imaging lens and then onto as imaging detector. Figure 12 graphically illustrates a mathematical model for deriving various mirror profiles which can achieve this result. In Figure 12, a cross section of mirror 1202 is illustrated. In practice, this mirror circularly extends around a substantial portion of axis z to establish a wide field of view in a first direction. This wide field of view can be 360 degrees around the axis or a substantial portion or portions thereof. The parallel sheet of rays are 30 shown incident on the mirror 1202 substantially parallel to the image plane 1204 ($\beta+\gamma=90$). In this arrangement, the sheet of rays, which are parallel in a direction

corresponding to the thickness of the sheet (Δt), corresponds to a substantially parallel sheet. Analysis of the model of Figure 12 yields an equation for the mirror profile where $\beta + \gamma = 90$ of :

(5)

$$z = c - 2\sqrt{k} \sqrt{|r| + k}$$

5 where k is a constant of integration. For the case of $Z=0$ at $r=0$, $k=c/2$ which results in the "hat-shaped" profile illustrated in Figure 13. In the case of orthographic projection, the angle β (Figure 12) is $\pi/4$ and the resulting mirror profile is a cone, as illustrated in Figure 14. While not shown in Figure 14, one or more lens is generally interposed between the imaging plane and the conical mirror such that only those rays
10 which are part of the desired parallel sheet or slice of rays are projected onto an imaging sensor or the imaging plane.

It will be appreciated that the system can be adapted such that parallel rays from other directions can be directed to the image plane. In such systems, the sheet of parallel rays corresponds to a conical sheet around the axis z . Again, the rays are
15 substantially parallel in a second direction which corresponds to the thickness of the sheet (Δt).

A slice camera in accordance with the present invention is one which receives a thin sheet of parallel rays over a large field of view around an axis (e.g., 180 or 360 degrees) and projects these rays onto a large number of image pixels over an area of
20 an image sensor with a respective angular displacement among at least a majority of the pixels. This is illustrated in Figure 15, wherein the acquired image points from a portion of a 360 degree field of view slice are mapped over the angle of rotation from θ_1 and θ_2 into the wide disc-shaped section of the rectangular imaging sensor defined by the region R_1-R_2 . This mapping of the thin sheet of parallel rays onto the pixels
25 (1502-1528) in a large portion of the sensor area and distributed over the rotational angle θ provides enhanced resolution for the present slice cameras. This can be represented by intensity values in the graph of Figure 16B. In contrast, if a conventional wide angle imaging system were used to capture an equivalent width section of the scene, that portion of the image would map to only to a single circle,

e.g., that defined by R1, and would be sampled by far fewer pixels on the imaging sensor, as can be seen in the intensity graph of Figure 16A.

Referring to Figure 16B, it can be appreciated that the pixels distributed within the region θ_1 , θ_2 and the width R_1-R_2 exhibit a non-uniform distribution over 5 θ . By interpolating these data points and then re-sampling the interpolated data, a uniform distribution of interpolated samples can be provided, if desired.

Also, referring to Figure 15, it is apparent that the rays from the image slice are directed onto only a known portion of the imaging sensor (in the case of Figure 15, an annular ring). This can be used advantageously to form lower cost or 10 higher resolution imaging sensors for a slice camera. To form a lower cost sensor, the pixels outside of the active portion of the sensor need not be provided. To form a higher resolution sensor, the same number of pixels used in a conventional rectangular sensor can be concentrated within the active portion of the sensor. Of course, conventional rectangular sensors can also be used in the practice of the 15 present invention.

Figure 17 is a schematic diagram illustrating a first embodiment of a slice camera. The slice camera employs a conical mirror section 1702 which receives parallel rays over a small slice width. In this case, the system is intended to be orthographic such that $\Delta t = \Delta z$, where z is the vertical axis of the conical mirror, 20 which is normal to the imaging plane. As discussed in connection with Figure 14, the conical mirror orthogonally directs the incident rays onto a relay lens 1704. The relay lens 1704 directs the rays onto the entrance pupil of an imaging lens 1706 which in turn directs the rays onto an imaging sensor 1708. This arrangement of the relay lens and imaging lens can be referred to as a telecentric lens. In this arrangement, the 25 parallel rays (and only the parallel rays) from the width of the slice are directed onto the image sensor. The parallel rays are directed onto an area of the imaging sensor 1708 in an annular ring having a radial thickness as illustrated in Figure 15. Since the relay lens ensures that only orthographically reflected rays are imaged by the sensor, and since the mirror is a cone with its axis aligned with the direction of orthographic reflection, the scene rays that are imaged in any given angle within the 360 degree 30 strip are all parallel to one another. If the conical section is small, these parallel rays

will be very close to one another.

A variety of other slice camera designs may be used to capture high resolution slice data. For instance, instead of a conical mirror and an orthographic imaging system, a perspective imaging system can be used with a non-convex mirror as shown 5 in Figure 18. As developed in connection with Figure 13, such an embodiment would include a section of mirror 1802 with a profile suitable for focusing the incident parallel rays onto the entrance pupil of an objective lens 1804 and ultimately onto the imaging sensor 1806. Further, the same data can be obtained using a stacked set of thin mirror sections 1902, 1904, 1906 that have the same field of view but are of 10 different diameters, as illustrated in connection with Figure 19. For example, the mirror sections can take the form of a stack of parabolic mirrors used in conjunction with an orthographic imaging system similar to that described in connection with Figure 17.

Figure 20A illustrates a further alternate embodiment of a slice camera which 15 employs a primary mirror 2002 and a secondary mirror 2004 to direct the incident parallel rays of the image slice onto the entrance pupil of an imaging lens 2012 and onto an image sensor 2006. As illustrated in Figure 20A, the primary mirror 2002 can take the form of the hat shaped profile as discussed in connection with Figure 13 and the secondary mirror can be an ellipsoid mirror. In this case, the profile of the 20 primary mirror is determined such the incident rays are directed through the near focus of the ellipsoid mirror and the entrance pupil of lens 2012 is placed at the far focus of the ellipsoid mirror 2004. This provides a configuration where the image sensor 2006 is placed within the perimeter of the primary mirror, thus providing a relatively compact design.

Figure 20B illustrates another alternate embodiment of slice camera using 25 multiple mirrors. In this case, the parallel rays of the desired image sheet are incident on a conical mirror section which directs the rays, which are still parallel, onto a second mirror 2010 which is parabolic. The parabolic mirror 2010 then focuses the parallel rays onto the entrance pupil of an imaging lens 2012 and onto an imaging 30 sensor 2006.

In the implementations discussed above, the fields of view (strips) or slice

widths are approximately perpendicular to the optical axis of the imaging system. It should be appreciated, however, that the field of view or slice width can be at any other angle with respect to the optical axis. For example, as noted in connection with Figure 12, this results in the image slice data corresponding to a conical sheet of 5 thickness Δt around the axis of the imaging system (z).

It will be appreciated that, as with conventional imaging systems, resolution can be improved by projecting a smaller field of view onto an equivalent area of the imaging sensor. For example, by configuring the optics such that the sheet of rays over a 180 degree field of view are projected onto a substantial area of a rectangular 10 imaging sensor, the resolution can effectively be increased as compared to a 360 degree field of view camera. In such a case, only a half annular image is acquired and the camera is then rotated 360 degrees, rather than 180 degrees, in order to capture slice image data for a full 360 x 360 mosaic. In the case where the aspect ratio of the imaging sensor is not equal to one, such as in conventional video cameras, 15 the sheet of rays can be made to fill the longer of the two image dimensions to more effectively use the area of the sensor and thereby obtain higher resolution (more pixels in the image section). Of course, a further extension of this principle is to limit the panorama or mosaic image to less than 360 x 360 viewing. For example, the magnification of the imaging lens can be increased such that rays from smaller 20 sections of the mirror (e.g., 90 degrees) are directed to an equivalent area of the imaging sensor.

A 180 degree or greater image slice can also be captured using a line image detector. Line detectors have a linear array of pixels closely packed together. Figure 21 illustrates a slice acquisition system using a line detector 2102, an objective lens 25 2104 and two strips of curved mirrors 2106, 2108. Each scene ray that approaches the center of projection of the imaging system is reflected by the primary curved mirror 2108 in the direction of the secondary mirror 2106. The secondary mirror 2106 then reflects this ray towards the entrance pupil of the imaging lens 2104. All reflected rays lie on a plane so that the resulting image is formed on the line sensor.

30 If the direction of the scene ray is s , the direction of the reflected ray v , the

direction of the line joining the reflecting points is \mathbf{m} , the surface normals at the reflection points are \mathbf{n}_1 and \mathbf{n}_2 are:

$$\mathbf{n}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{m}}{\|\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{m}\|} \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{n}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{m}}{\|\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{m}\|} \quad (7)$$

5 Figure 22 is a schematic diagram of an exemplary system for generating and manipulating spherical mosaics in accordance with the present invention. The system includes a slice camera 2202 which is rotated about an axis of rotation 2204 by a motorized drive unit 2206. The slice camera 2202 can take any number of forms, including those illustrated in Figures 17-20. A control unit 2208 is provided to

10 receive the slice image data from the slice camera 2202 and to control the rotation of the drive unit 2206. The drive unit 2206 can be a linear motor and include a sensor to provide rotational positional information to the control unit 2208. Alternatively, the drive unit 2206 can take the form of a stepper motor which induces a fixed amount of rotation in response to a received control signal from the control unit 2208.

15 In either case, the image acquisition by the slice camera is performed at known rotational positions about the axis of rotation 2204. As illustrated in Figure 22, the slice camera 2202 can be offset from the axis of rotation 2204 by a small radial distance, designated as $b/2$. As will be described below, this allows stereoscopic images to be rendered from a single rotation about the axis of rotation 2204.

20 The system further includes a display unit 2210 and user input device 2212, such as a digital pointer or "mouse". The display unit 2210 is used to display the spherical mosaic images to a user and also operates in cooperation with the input device 2212 and control unit 2208 to form a graphical user interface to the system. The control unit 2208, user input device 2212 and display 2210 can be embodied in a

25 conventional personal computer platform operating under the control of appropriate software.

Methods for creating an omnidirectional mosaic/image using rotating

catadioptric imaging systems will now be described. Two types of imaging systems have been described above. The first system type is for the acquisition of image strips. In the case of image strips, it is possible to acquire overlapping strip image data without knowledge of the rotational position of the imaging system during image 5 acquisition. Figure 23 is a simplified flow chart illustrating a method of generating a spherical mosaic using such overlapping, strip images. Referring to Figure 7A, as the strip image system is rotated about the axis of rotation (z-axis in Figure 7A), strip images are acquired at various rotational positions (ϕ) about at least 180 degrees of rotation (step 2305).

10 Next, the overlapping strip image data is processed using conventional image processing techniques to identify common features in the image strips to determine the relative alignment of the image strips in the spherical mosaic (step 2310). A variety of methods can be used for feature identification and alignment. For example a sum-of-square-difference (SSD) measure can be used to identify similar windows 15 within consecutive images. To determine the similarity measure of a feature window centered at a location (x, y) in a first image (k) and a window centered at a point (i, j) in the second image ($k-1$), the SSD measure (for a 5 pixel x 5 pixel window) is computed as:

$$E(i, j) = \sum_{m=-2}^{m=2} \sum_{n=-2}^{n=2} (I_k(x-m, y-n) - I_{k-1}(i-m, j-n))^2 \quad (8)$$

20 where, I_k and I_{k-1} denote brightness/color values in the two images. The value of (i, j) in image $k-1$ that gives the lowest value of E is taken to be the best match for the feature (x, y) in image k . This process is repeated so that a significant number of features are matched. Note that each image feature can be mapped to a vector P in its reference frame. Therefore, the set of matching features correspond to a set of 25 matching vectors in the frames k and $k-1$. Hence, equation (18) (infra) can be used to solve for the rotation matrix $R_{k-1, k}$ using a variety of techniques. The rotation matrix can either be solved using linear, nonlinear, or search techniques. In our case, we have used the SIMPLEX search algorithm to find the α_k , β_k and γ_k values that best satisfy equation (18) (infra) for given set of matching features. Note that since the

rotation between all consecutive frames can be computed in this manner, the rotation R_k of the most recent frame with respect to the coordinate frame of the mosaic (first frame) is easily determined using equation (16) (infra). Once common features are identified, these features can be used as a datum for combining overlapping strips into 5 the spherical mosaic (step 2315). A blending algorithm, discussed above, can then be used to adjust the color and intensity of the spherical mosaic in those regions where adjacent strips overlap (step 2320). Finally, the resulting spherical mosaic data is mapped to a display (2325).

In the case of unknown rotations, such as the method of Figure 23, it is 10 expected that the estimated rotations will have errors due to inaccuracies in the feature matching and estimation procedure. As a result, the blending in the overlap regions will not be perfect and will tend to give rise to blurring of the mosaic. This is because the multiple measurements in the overlap regions will be displaced with respect to their desired locations and hence will not correspond to exactly the same 15 scene point. To overcome this problem, a fine matching algorithm can be used. The fine matching algorithm involves searching through the rotation space in a small neighborhood around the estimated rotation parameters for the best match between the two strips in their overlap region. In other words, the strip k is recomputed for a finite number of samples within the rotation space:

$$\alpha - \delta\alpha \leq \alpha' \leq \alpha + \delta\alpha \quad (9)$$

$$\beta - \delta\beta \leq \beta' \leq \beta + \delta\beta \quad (10)$$

$$\gamma - \delta\gamma \leq \gamma' \leq \gamma + \delta\gamma \quad (10A)$$

For each rotation used, the overlapping regions of the two strips are compared using 25 the sum-of-square-difference (SSD) given by equation (8). In this case, the SSD value E is computed using the entire region of overlap between the two strips (and not just a small window). The set of rotation angles α' , β' and γ' that minimize E is taken to be the correct rotation estimate and the corresponding position of the strips is used to blend the strips together. Again, blending is performed using weights that

correspond to the distances d_1 and d_2 of a mosaic pixel from the closest boundaries of the strips.

In the case of image slices and non-overlapping image strips, the rotational position of the imaging sensor must be known for each acquired image slice. The 5 system described in connection with Figure 22 is suitable for such an application. Figure 24 is a flow chart which illustrates the process of generating and displaying spherical mosaic data from non-overlapping image slice data. First, non-overlapping image slices are acquired at known rotational positions about the axis of rotation of the imaging system (step 2405). The rotational positions can be acquired using an 10 appropriate sensor and/or through a drive mechanism which is calibrated with respect to an applied control signal, as discussed in connection with Figure 22. With the rotational position of the image slice data known, adjacent image slice data is concatenated over the full rotational range of the imaging system (step 2410). As the 15 image slices are not overlapping, there is likely small regions between adjacent image slices which are not captured. Therefore, interpolation can be performed to smooth the regions between image slices, if necessary (step 2415). Finally, the spherical 20 mosaic data is then mapped to a display (step 2420).

The image data acquired in step 2405, which is of known rotational position, must be mapped to the spherical mosaic. This entails mapping from locations in the 25 image to corresponding locations within the reference frame of the mosaic, such as shown in Figure 1A. In this reference frame a point may be represented by its polar angles (θ, ϕ) or the Cartesian coordinates of the corresponding unit vector $\mathbf{P} [X, Y, Z]^T$. The specific mapping will depend on the catadioptric imaging system used. Figures 25A and 25B illustrate an exemplary mapping in the case of an imaging 30 system which uses a parabolic mirror section, a relay lens, an objective lens and an image sensor. In this case, the projection of rays from the mirror to the image sensor is orthographic, i.e., all reflected rays are parallel to the optical axis as shown in the figure. With the Z-axis of the mosaic frame aligned with the optical axis of the imaging system, the azimuthal angle of the scene ray can be determined from the image coordinates (x, y) :

$$\phi = \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x} \quad (11)$$

The distance of the reflecting mirror point from the optical axis is:

$$r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \quad (12)$$

The profile of the parabolic mirror section is given by:

5

$$z(r) = \frac{h^2 + r^2}{2h} \quad (13)$$

where, h is the parameter of the parabola. Hence, the zenith angle of the scene ray is determined as

$$\theta = \tan^{-1} \frac{r}{z} \quad (14)$$

10 Note that once the polar angles (θ, ϕ) are determined the points can readily be mapped to the Cartesian coordinates $\mathbf{P}[X, Y, Z]^T$ using:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \sin \theta \cos \phi \\ Y &= \sin \theta \sin \phi \\ Z &= \cos \phi \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

15 As mentioned previously, the catadioptric strip or slice camera is rotated to capture a sequence of images. The frame of reference of the mosaic is generally defined with respect to the first orientation (or image) of the imaging system. Then, all subsequent measurements are mapped to this coordinate frame, taking the rotation into consideration. To do this, two coordinate frames are defined: the first being the fixed frame of the computed mosaic and the second being a frame that is attached to the imaging system and is rotated with respect to the mosaic frame. We will denote

the rotation of orientation k with respect to the fixed frame as \mathbf{R}_k . This rotation can be computed as the product of the rotations between subsequent orientation $\mathbf{R}_{k-1,k}$:

$$\mathbf{R}_k = \mathbf{R}_{0,1} \mathbf{R}_{1,2} \dots \mathbf{R}_{k-1,k} \quad (16)$$

5 Each rotation between consecutive frames can be described in terms of the Euler angles $(\alpha_k, \beta_k, \gamma_k)$:

$$\mathbf{R}_{k-1,k} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \alpha_k & -\sin \alpha_k \\ 0 & \sin \alpha_k & \cos \alpha_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \beta_k & 0 & \sin \beta_k \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin \beta_k & 0 & \cos \beta_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \gamma_k & -\sin \gamma_k & 0 \\ \sin \gamma_k & \cos \gamma_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

where, α_k is a rotation about the Z -axis, β_k is a rotation about the new Y -axis, and γ_k is a rotation about the new X -axis. Therefore, a point \mathbf{P}_k in the k^{th} is easily mapped to the $k-1^{\text{th}}$ frame by:

$$10 \quad \mathbf{P}_{k-1} = \mathbf{R}_{k-1,k} \mathbf{P}_k \quad (18)$$

Once the rotation matrices are computed from the Euler angles, the image measurements can be mapped to the mosaic. The mosaic itself may be rendered on a sphere or a spherical panorama or any other representation of the polar angles (θ, ϕ) as illustrated in Figure 1.

15 Either image slices or strips (as defined earlier) produced by an imaging system can be mapped to construct a complete mosaic from a single rotation of the imaging system. In the case of slices, which cannot overlap, the slices may be concatenated. In the case of image strips, the strips may either be concatenated or blended together where consecutive strips overlap.

20 Once an omnidirectional mosaic has been computed, such as described in connection with Figures 23 and 24, any part of this mosaic can be mapped to a substantially distortion free perspective view of the corresponding part of the scene.

This can be done via a geometrical mapping method. Referring to Figure 26, a virtual camera view is defined by the polar angle θ' , ϕ' of the viewing direction of the virtual camera. These angles can be mapped to the viewing unit vector \mathbf{P}' using equation (15). For an effective focal length of the virtual camera of f and the desired 5 perspective having its two axes aligned with the unit vectors \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} which are orthogonal to each other and orthogonal to the viewing vector \mathbf{P}' , a pixel location (p, q) in this image is mapped to the mosaic frame of reference by computing the corresponding unit vector $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{P}' / \|\mathbf{P}'\|$ where:

$$\mathbf{P} = f\mathbf{P}' + p\mathbf{a} + q\mathbf{b} \quad (19)$$

10 Once \mathbf{Q} has been computed, the corresponding polar angles can be determined from equation (15). Once the polar angles are determined, the corresponding brightness value can be obtained from the representation used to store the mosaic. This brightness value is filled in the location (p, q) of the virtual camera image.

15 The geometrical mapping can be performed in real time such that a user can vary the viewing vector and the focal length using an interactive device such as a computer mouse. The resulting virtual camera image can be displayed on the computer screen and the user can freely navigate around the 360 degree x 360 degree space. Alternatively, a head-mounted display may be used to view the generated 20 mosaic. As the user turns, the head mounted display provides the computer the orientation (viewing direction) of the user. The appropriate perspective image can then be computed and displayed on the head-mounted display.

Stereoscopic Omnidirectional Mosaics

The methods presented above can be used to develop an omnidirectional mosaic that is stereoscopic. This allows a user to "look around" the scene while 25 perceiving the relative depths of objects in the scene. It is well known that stereoscopic viewing requires two different views of the scene to be captured so that the two different views can be presented to the two eyes of the observer to produce depth perception. As noted in connection with Figure 22, this principle can be used in the context of spherical mosaics to generate a stereoscopic representation of the 30 scene that permits user to look in any direction.

Figures 27 A-C illustrate an imaging system being rotated about an axis that is radially offset with respect to the center of projection b , such as is illustrated in Figure 22. The radial distance between the rotation axis and the center of projection is $b/2$. The acquired image sections can take the form of strips or slices. As is illustrated in Figure 27, the center of projection travels along a circle defined by radius b as the system is rotated through a range of 360 degrees.

Figure 27A illustrates the left and right views, offset by 180 degrees of rotation, for each scene point that are produced by a single off-axis rotation of the imaging system. For the image that is captured at angle ψ and $\psi + \pi$, the left section of field of view strip at angle ψ and the right section of strip at angle $\psi + \pi$ correspond to two different views of the same part of the scene captured with an approximate baseline of b . Thus, left and right strips (or slices) that are taken from images that are approximately diametrically opposite to one another have a baseline b and all scene points are viewed from two view points that have a baseline of b . As a result, two spherical mosaics can be constructed from this single rotation. One mosaic can be constructed from the sequence of 180 degree left strips (or slices) (denoted by L) and the other from the sequence of 180 degree right strips (or slices) (denoted by R). If the two mosaics are constructed simultaneously, the first strip in the left mosaic is positioned at $\phi = \psi$ and the first strip in the right mosaic is positioned at $\phi = \psi + \pi$. The image strips or slices are then combined using the mosaicing approaches discussed above, such as with reference to Figures 23 and 24.

It should be noted that the field of view of the imaging system can be an image slice rather than a strip. In this case the left and right halves of the slice are concatenated together to construct the left and right mosaics, respectively. Also, as shown in Figure 27B the angular displacement between the left and right views does not have to be π . For example, if the displacement is some arbitrary value Ω , the two views are verged and have a baseline of

$$b' = b \sin \frac{\Omega}{2} \quad (22)$$

In the embodiments discussed above, the image strip that is captured by the imaging camera is tangential to the circle of rotation. However, this does not have to be the

case. The imaging system can be constructed such that the left and right strips (or slices) point away from the tangent to the circle of rotation as shown in Figure 27C.

A property of the above method is that the stereoscopic information that is captured is complete (in field of view) and is accomplished with a single rotation of the imaging system. The two viewpoints for any scene point remain fixed on the circle of rotation. Moreover, the distance (baseline) between the viewpoints also remains fixed when the same parts of the image are used for the left and right views. Hence, the data that is obtained is sufficient to compute stereo views for the head movements shown in Figure 28A. All stereo data that results from panning and 10 tilting the head is embedded in the spherical stereo mosaic.

As illustrated in Figure 28B, there are two (left and right) mosaics that are used to compute the left eye and right eye perspective views for any given head orientation. These views can be displayed on the left and right displays of the head-mounted display so that the three-dimensional structure of the scene can be observed 15 by a user. If a head-mounted display is not available for stereoscopic viewing of the mosaics, passive stereo glasses may be used where the left side of the glass has a red filter and the right side has a blue filter. Then, the perspective stereo image is displayed on the computer screen by taking the red channels of the perspective view from the left eye mosaic and the green and blue channel from the right eye mosaic. 20 When navigating around the stereo mosaic, the user will perceive three-dimensional structure while freely exploring the scene.

It should be noted that a catadioptric imaging system is not required for the capture of spherical stereo mosaics. A very wide angle imaging system, such as one that uses a fish eye lens, can also be used to capture the images. Again, in this case, 25 there exists a unique mapping from measured image points (x, y) to the polar angles (θ, ϕ) that are defined in the cartesian reference frame $P[X, Y, Z]^T$ that is attached to the center of projection of the imaging system. Hence, 180 degree or 360 degree slices or strips of the field of view can be obtained from this image data and once these slices and strips are mapped to the mosaic representation, they can be processed 30 in the same manner as described for the catadioptric imaging system. For a simple spherical mosaic, the fish eye system is rotated about its center of projection and for a

stereo mosaic it is rotated about a position which is radially offset from its center of projection. Again, as in the catadioptric case, the rotations may be known or unknown and estimated using matching features in consecutive image frames.

Omnidirectional Depth Maps

5 The systems and methods described above for generating a stereoscopic image can be used to generate omnidirectional depth maps. The imaging system used provides slices or strips that are at least 180 degrees in one of the two dimensions of the strip or slice. The easiest way to compute a depth map is to use the left and right stereo mosaics, which are shown as spherical panoramas in Figures 29A and 29B.

10 Note that the point q_l and q_r in the left and right mosaics of Figures 29a and 29B, respectively, correspond to the same scene point. A feature matching algorithm, like the one used for rotation estimation discussed in connection with Figure 23, can be applied to the two mosaics. This yields a matching feature in the right image for each feature in the left image. These matching features correspond to the same physical

15 point in the scene, the three-dimensional coordinates of which can then be computed using the geometric model which is illustrated in Figure 29C. The two mosaic points are mapped to their corresponding polar angles which are in turn mapped to unit viewing vectors in Cartesian coordinates. The coordinate frames for the two vectors are different as the left and right images of this scene point were captured from

20 different viewpoints resulting from the radial offset in rotation, $(b/2)$. Hence, the two reference frames are separated by the baseline b' . The intersection of the lines l and r in the directions of the viewing vectors P_l and P_r determines the coordinates S of the scene point in three-dimensional space. By repeating this process for all features in the left and right mosaics, a complete depth map of the scene can be determined.

25 As an alternative to using the left and right mosaics for the computation of depth, greater accuracy can be obtained by using the sequence of original image sections captured during rotation. These image sections are illustrated in Figure 30. Note that these images correspond to discrete orientations (denoted by $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_N$ in Figure 30) of the imaging system. If the imaging system produces a strip rather than a slice, the same scene point will be seen on one side of the strip (say left side) in a part of the sequence of images, then it will not appear for a part of sequence, and then

will reappear on the other side of the strip for another part of the sequence. Note that all images of the point correspond to different views of the point. The corresponding viewing vectors are shown in Figure 31.

Referring to Figure 31, the bundle of lines ideally intersect at the same point S, which is the three-dimensional coordinates of the scene point. However, due to inaccuracies in the measurements as well as computations, it is likely that the lines will not meet at a point. This is almost always the case in any type of stereo measurement. Hence, the bundle of lines can be used to determine to scene point location that has the shortest average distance from the bundle of lines. The coordinates of the point S can be accurately computed from the bundle of lines using a standard regression method. Since different pairs of lines correspond to different baselines in this case, this is really a form of multi-baseline stereo. The computed three-dimensional map of the scene can be stored as a 360 degree x 360 degree spherical mosaic, as a spherical panorama, or any other convenient representation.

An exemplary imaging system, substantially in accordance with Figure 22, has been implemented using a conical mirror having a 65 mm outer diameter in conjunction with a telecentric lens to direct image slices to a conventional digital video camera (Canon model Optura). The rotation system includes a motorized turntable manufactured by Daedal. The center of projection of the slice camera was offset from the center of rotation of the turntable by three inches, thus providing a stereo baseline separation of six inches. A complete rotation of the slice camera was performed over a three minute interval over which approximately 5,000 image slices were acquired. An example of an image slice acquired by the system is illustrated in Figure 32. Exemplary left and right spherical mosaic images generated from the image slices are illustrated in Figures 33A and 33B respectively. Each mosaic is 4000 x 2000 pixels. Figures 34 A and 34 B illustrate left and right perspective views, respectively, of a section of the spherical image.

The systems and methods of the present invention have been described in connection with certain embodiments thereof. It will be appreciated that changes and modifications to these embodiments will be apparent to those skilled in the art. Such changes and modifications are encompassed by the present invention as set forth in

the appended claims.

CLAIMS

1. A method of acquiring slice image data comprising:
receiving rays over a large field of view in a first direction and a small
imaging width in a second direction, at least a portion of said rays being parallel with
5 each other with respect to said second direction; and
directing only said portion of said rays upon an area of an imaging sensor.
2. The method of acquiring slice image data according to claim 1, wherein said
directing step includes interposing at least one mirror and at least one lens between a
point where the rays are received and the imaging sensor.
- 10 3. The method of acquiring slice image data according to claim 1, wherein said
directing step distributes said portion of said rays upon the imaging sensor at points
corresponding to a plurality of different radial directions of the large field of view.
4. The method of acquiring slice image data according to claim 3, further
comprising the step of interpolating between said points corresponding to a plurality
15 of different radial directions.
5. The method of acquiring slice image data according to claim 4, further
comprising sampling the interpolated data over a uniform distribution of said radial
direction.
6. The method of acquiring slice image data according to claim 1, wherein the
20 large field of view is approximately 360 degrees.
7. The method of acquiring slice image data according to claim 6, wherein the
area of the imaging sensor is an annular ring having a radial width.

8. The method of acquiring slice image data according to claim 7, wherein said directing step distributes said portion of said rays throughout the radial width at points corresponding to a plurality of different radial directions of the large field of view.

5 9. The method of acquiring slice image data according to claim 1, wherein the large field of view is approximately 180 degrees.

10. The method of acquiring slice image data according to claim 9, wherein the area of the imaging sensor is a semi-circular annular portion having a radial width.

11. The method of acquiring slice image data according to claim 10, wherein said 10 directing step distributes said portion of said rays throughout the radial width at points corresponding to a plurality of different radial directions of the large field of view.

12. A method of generating an omnidirectional mosaic image comprising:
acquiring image slice data at a plurality of known rotational
15 positions about an axis of rotation, the image slice data corresponding to an image section having a large field of view along the axis of rotation and a small image width in a second direction, the acquiring step further comprising receiving rays over the large field of view, at least a portion of which are substantially parallel in said second direction, and directing only said portion of rays onto at least a portion of an
20 image sensor area; and

concatenating the plurality of image slice data using the known rotational positions to form a substantially continuous mosaic image data about the axis of rotation.

13. The method of generating an omnidirectional mosaic image of claim 12,
25 further comprising the step of mapping the omnidirectional image to a display.

14. The method of generating an omnidirectional mosaic image of claim 12, wherein the large field of view is substantially equal to 360 degrees and wherein the parallel rays are directed on the image sensor in an annular ring pattern having a radial width.
- 5 15. The method of generating an omnidirectional mosaic image of claim 14, wherein said directing step distributes said portion of said rays throughout the radial width at points corresponding to a plurality of different radial directions of the large field of view.
- 10 16. The method of generating an omnidirectional mosaic image of claim 12, wherein the second direction is orthogonal to the axis of rotation and the parallel rays correspond to a planar sheet.
17. The method of generating an omnidirectional mosaic image of claim 12, wherein the second direction is non-orthogonal to the first direction and the parallel rays correspond to a conical sheet.
- 15 18. The method of generating an omnidirectional mosaic image of claim 12, wherein said directing step is performed using a conical mirror and telecentric lens arrangement.
19. The method of generating an omnidirectional mosaic image of claim 12, wherein said directing step is performed using a non-convex mirror in cooperation
20 with an imaging lens.
20. The method of generating an omnidirectional mosaic image of claim 12, further comprising the step of interpolating between images following the step of concatenation.
- 25 21. A method of generating an omnidirectional mosaic image comprising:

acquiring a plurality of overlapping image strips about an axis of rotation, the image strips having a large field of view along the axis of rotation and a small field of view in a second direction;

5 identifying common features in overlapping regions of consecutive image strips;

combining consecutive image strips based on the common features to form a substantially continuous omnidirectional image about the axis of rotation; and

10 blending the combined images in the overlapping regions to provide omnidirectional image data.

22. The method of generating an omnidirectional mosaic image of claim 21 further comprising mapping the omnidirectional image data to a display.

23. The method of generating an omnidirectional mosaic image of claim 21 wherein said plurality of strips is at least 3 strips.

15

24. A slice camera comprising:

an imaging sensor;

20 at least one mirror receiving rays over a large field of view in a first direction and a small imaging width in a second direction, at least a portion of said rays being parallel with each other with respect to said second direction; and

at least one lens, the at least one lens receiving the rays from the at least one mirror and directing only said portion of said rays to an area of the imaging sensor.

25. The slice camera according to claim 24 wherein the at least one mirror is a conical mirror and said at least one lens is a telecentric lens arrangement.

26. The slice camera according to claim 24 wherein the at least one mirror is a non-convex mirror and said at least one lens is an imaging lens having an entrance

pupil, said non-convex mirror having a profile such that only said parallel rays are directed through the entrance pupil of the imaging lens and onto the imaging sensor.

27. The slice camera according to claim 24 wherein the at least one mirror includes first and second mirrors.

5 28. The slice camera according to claim 27 wherein the second mirror has a near focus and a far focus and wherein the first mirror section projects said portion of rays through the near focus and the second mirror directs said portion of rays to the image sensor located at a position proximate the far focus.

10 29. The slice camera according to claim 28 wherein the near focus is located in front of the first mirror section and the far focus is located behind the first mirror section.

30. The slice camera according to claim 28 wherein the second mirror is an ellipsoid mirror.

15 31. The slice camera according to claim 28 wherein the first mirror is a conical mirror and said second mirror is a parabolic mirror.

32. The slice camera according to claim 31 wherein the imaging sensor is located behind an apex of the conical mirror.

20 33. The slice camera according to claim 24, wherein said portion of said rays are directed upon the imaging sensor at points corresponding to a plurality of different radial directions of the large field of view.

34. The slice camera according to claim 24, wherein the large field of view is substantially equal to 360 degrees and wherein the area of the imaging sensor is an annular ring having a radial width.

35. The slice camera according to claim 34, wherein said rays are directed throughout the radial width at points corresponding to a plurality of different radial directions of the large field of view.

36. The slice camera according to claim 24, wherein the large field of view is a sector less than 360 degrees and wherein the area of the imaging sensor is a section of an annular ring, corresponding to said sector, and having a radial width.

37. The slice camera according to claim 36, wherein said rays are directed throughout the radial width at points corresponding to a plurality of different radial directions of the large field of view.

10 38. An imaging system for acquiring an omnidirectional mosaic image comprising:
an image slice camera having a large field of view in a first direction and a small imaging width in a second direction, the image slice camera receiving rays of light incident on the camera within the large field of view, at least a portion of said incident rays being substantially parallel to each other with respect to said second direction, said portion of rays being directed to an area of an imaging sensor to form image slice data;

15 a rotational drive system operatively coupled to the image slice camera, the rotational drive system rotating the image slice camera along an axis of rotation substantially parallel to the first direction;

20 a control unit operatively coupled to the rotational drive system and image slice camera, the control unit receiving the image slice data from the image slice camera and recording corresponding rotational positional information of the rotational drive system, the control unit acquiring a plurality of image slices at known rotational positions and concatenating said image slices to form an omnidirectional mosaic image data.

25 39. The imaging system of claim 38, further comprising an output device coupled

to the control unit for displaying an omnidirectional mosaic image from the omnidirectional mosaic image data.

40. The imaging system of claim 38, wherein the slice camera comprises:

an imaging lens having an entrance pupil;

5 a non-convex mirror section receiving the parallel rays and directing said portion of rays onto the entrance pupil of the imaging lens;

an imaging sensor, the imaging sensor being responsive to the rays which are incident on the entrance pupil of the imaging lens.

41. The imaging system of claim 38, wherein the slice camera comprises:

10 an imaging sensor;

a telecentric lens arrangement; and

a conical mirror section, the conical mirror section receiving said incident rays and directing said portion of rays onto the telecentric lens arrangement whereby only said portion of rays are directed to the imaging sensor.

15 42. The imaging system of claim 38, wherein the slice camera comprises:

a first mirror section receiving said incident rays;

a second mirror section; and

an imaging sensor, the second mirror being positioned to receive said portion of rays from the first mirror and direct said portion of rays to the imaging 20 sensor.

43. The imaging system of claim 42, wherein the second mirror section has a near focus and a far focus and wherein the first mirror section projects said portion of rays through the near focus and the second mirror section directs said portion of rays to the image sensor located at a position proximate the far focus.

25 44. The imaging system of claim 43 wherein the near focus is located in front of the first mirror section and the far focus is located behind the first mirror section.

45. The imaging system of claim 38, wherein the slice camera comprises:
 - an imaging sensor;
 - a telecentric lens arrangement; and
 - a plurality of stacked parabolic mirror sections receiving the incident rays and directing said portion of rays to the telecentric lens arrangement such that only said portion of rays are incident on the imaging sensor.
- 5 46. The imaging system of claim 38, wherein the slice camera has a center of projection and wherein the axis of rotation is radially offset from the center of projection.
- 10 47. The imaging system of claim 38, wherein the control unit generates left and right omnidirectional mosaic data from the image slices acquired in a single rotation about the axis of rotation.
48. The imaging system of claim 47, wherein said control unit provides the left and right omnidirectional mosaic data to the output device to form a stereoscopic image.
- 15 49. The imaging system of claim 48, wherein the output device includes left and right display portions for displaying the left and right omnidirectional mosaic data, respectively.
- 20 50. The imaging system of claim 49, wherein the output device is a head mounted display.
51. The imaging system of claim 46, wherein control unit calculates the depth of a plurality of points in the image from the left and right omnidirectional mosaic data.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




FIG. 1




FIG. 2

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)


PRIOR ART

FIG. 3


PRIOR ART

FIG. 4

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)






FIG. 5



(a)




(b)

FIG. 6A

FIG. 6B


THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

FIG 8A



(a)

FIG 8B



(b)

FIG 7A





FIG 7B



THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




FIG. 9




FIG. 10

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




FIG. 11

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




FIG. 12




FIG. 13

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




FIG. 14

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

Fig. 15





Fig 16.A




Fig 16.B

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




FIG. 18




FIG. 19




FIG. 17

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

FIG. 20 A





FIG. 20 B



THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)





FIG. 21


THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

FIG 22




THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

FIG. 23



THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

Fig 24



THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




FIG. 25A




FIG. 25B




FIG. 26

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




FIG. 27A




FIG 27(b)




FIG 27(c)



(a)

FIG 28A




FIG 28B

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)






FIG. 30

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




FIG. 32




FIG. 31

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)



FIG 33A

(a) Left spherical mosaic.



FIG 33B

(b) Right spherical mosaic.



FIG 34A

FIG 34B

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US00/25801

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(7) :H04N 5/225
US CL :348/207

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 348/207, 36, 148, 335, 344, 345; 359/618, 663, 664

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EAST: omnidirectional, spherical mosaic image, stereoscopic, panoramic, strip, slice, mirror, convex, telecentric lens, conical mirror.

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5,760,826 A (NAYAR) 02 June 1998, Figs. 1a, 3, 5, 12; col. 6, lines 24-67; col. 9, lines 5-20; col. 10, lines 44-64.	1-26, 38 -----
Y		27-37, 39-41, 42-51
Y	US 5,532,737 A (BRAUN) 02 July 1996; Figs. 2, 3A; col. 4, lines 1-67; col. 5, lines 1-67.	27-37
Y	US 5,111,288 A (BLACKSHEAR) 05 May 1992; Figs. 4, 7; col. 6, lines 1-67; col. 7, lines 1-67; col. 8, lines 1-67.	39-41
Y	US 5,557,454 A (TAKAHASHI) 17 September 1996; Figs. 3, 5, 10; col. 4, lines 10-67; col. 11, lines 13-67.	42-51

 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex.

-	Special categories of cited documents:	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"A"	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"E"	earlier document published on or after the international filing date	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"&"	document member of the same patent family
"O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		
"P"	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		

Date of the actual completion of the international search

12 JANUARY 2001

Date of mailing of the international search report

22 FEB 2001

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230Authorized officer
LUONG NGUYEN
Telephone No. (703) 308-9297

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US00/25801

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5,185,667 A (ZIMMERMANN) 09 February 1993, All	1-51
A	US 5,359,363 A (KUBAN et al.) 25 October 1994, All	1-51

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION
(PCT Rule 61.2)

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To:

Commissioner
US Department of Commerce
United States Patent and Trademark
Office, PCT
2011 South Clark Place Room
CP2/5C24
Arlington, VA 22202
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

in its capacity as elected Office

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 10 July 2001 (10.07.01)
International application No. PCT/US00/25801
International filing date (day/month/year) 20 September 2000 (20.09.00)
Applicant NAYAR, Shree, K. et al

Applicant's or agent's file reference
32709-PCT

Priority date (day/month/year)
20 September 1999 (20.09.99)

1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made:

in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on:
18 April 2001 (18.04.01)

in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:

2. The election was

was not

made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland
Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

Authorized officer

Claudio Borton
Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

US002580

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

32709
Pet

PCT

**NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
SUBMISSION OR TRANSMITTAL
OF PRIORITY DOCUMENT**

(PCT Administrative Instructions, Section 411)

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 29 January 2001 (29.01.01)	<i>4/17 DRAFT PCT</i>
Applicant's or agent's file reference 32709-PCT	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION
International application No. PCT/US00/25801	International filing date (day/month/year) 20 September 2000 (20.09.00)
International publication date (day/month/year) Not yet published	Priority date (day/month/year) 20 September 1999 (20.09.99)
Applicant THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK et al	

1. The applicant is hereby notified of the date of receipt (except where the letters "NR" appear in the right-hand column) by the International Bureau of the priority document(s) relating to the earlier application(s) indicated below. Unless otherwise indicated by an asterisk appearing next to a date of receipt, or by the letters "NR", in the right-hand column, the priority document concerned was submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b).
2. This updates and replaces any previously issued notification concerning submission or transmittal of priority documents.
3. An asterisk(*) appearing next to a date of receipt, in the right-hand column, denotes a priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau but not in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b). In such a case, the attention of the applicant is directed to Rule 17.1(c) which provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.
4. The letters "NR" appearing in the right-hand column denote a priority document which was not received by the International Bureau or which the applicant did not request the receiving Office to prepare and transmit to the International Bureau, as provided by Rule 17.1(a) or (b), respectively. In such a case, the attention of the applicant is directed to Rule 17.1(c) which provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.

Priority date

Priority application No.

**Country or regional Office
or PCT receiving Office**

Date of receipt
of priority document

20 Sept 1999 (20.09.99)
13 Dec 1999 (13.12.99)

60/154,722
60/170,392

US

22 Janu 2001 (22.01.01)
22 Janu 2001 (22.01.01)

<p>The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland</p>	<p>Authorized officer V. Gross  Telephone No. (41-22) 338.83.38</p>
<p>Facsimile No. (41-22) 740.14.35</p>	<p>200001024</p>

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

HENRY TANG
BAKER BOTTS LLP
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA
NEW YORK NY 10112-0228

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
PCT

01 MAY 14 PM 2:21

NOTIFICATION OF RECEIPT TO
OF DEMAND BY COMPETENT INTERNATIONAL
PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY(PCT Rule 59.3(e) and 61.1(b), first sentence
and Administrative Instructions, Section 601(a))Date of mailing
(day/month/year) 08 MAY 2001Applicant's or agent's file reference
32709-PCT

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No. PCT/US00/25801	International filing date (day/month/year) 20 SEP 00	Priority date (day/month/year) 20 SEP 99
---	---	---

Applicant

THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF
NEW YORK

1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority considers the following date as the date of receipt of the demand for international preliminary examination of the international application:

18 April 2001 (18.04.01)

2. That date of receipt is:

the actual date of receipt of the demand by this Authority (Rule 61.1(b)).
 the actual date of receipt of the demand on behalf of this Authority (Rule 59.3(e)).
 the date on which this Authority has, in response to the invitation to correct defects in the demand (Form PCT/IPEA/404), received the required corrections.

3. ATTENTION: That date of receipt is AFTER the expiration of 19 months from the priority date. Consequently, the election(s) made in the demand does (do) not have the effect of postponing the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)). Therefore, the acts for entry into the national phase must be performed within 20 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 22). For details, see the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume II.

(If applicable) This notification confirms the information given by telephone, facsimile transmission or in person on:

4. Only where paragraph 3 applies, a copy of this notification has been sent to the International Bureau.

ON DOCKET FOR

3/20/02

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231
Facsimile No.

Attn: IPEA/US

Authorized officer
Sita H. Abuzar

Telephone No. *703-305-3759*

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

32709
PCT

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To:

TANG, Henry
 Baker Botts LLP
 30 Rockefeller Plaza
 New York, NY 10112-0228
 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 16 May 2001 (16.05.01)
Applicant's or agent's file reference 32709-PCT
International application No. PCT/US00/25801

20 SEPTEMBER 2000 (20.09.00)

01 MAY 30 AM 10: 04
IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

1. The following indications appeared on record concerning:

the applicant the inventor the agent the common representative

Name and Address KARMAKAR, Amruta Department of Computer Science Columbia University 450 Mudd Hall New York, NY 10027 United States of America	State of Nationality **	State of Residence US
	Telephone No.	
	Facsimile No.	
	Teleprinter No.	

2. The International Bureau hereby notifies the applicant that the following change has been recorded concerning:

the person the name the address the nationality the residence

Name and Address KARMAKAR, Amruta 1916 Cambridge Court, Apt. 2B Palatine, IL 60074 United States of America	State of Nationality IN	State of Residence US
	Telephone No.	
	Facsimile No.	
	Teleprinter No.	

3. Further observations, if necessary:

4. A copy of this notification has been sent to:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the receiving Office	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the designated Offices concerned
<input type="checkbox"/> the International Searching Authority	<input type="checkbox"/> the elected Offices concerned
<input type="checkbox"/> the International Preliminary Examining Authority	<input type="checkbox"/> other:

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35	Authorized officer Marie-Thérèse Priser Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38
---	--

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

32709 pct

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

INFORMATION CONCERNING ELECTED
OFFICES NOTIFIED OF THEIR ELECTION

(PCT Rule 61.3)

To:

TANG, Henry
 Baker Botts LLP
 30 Rockefeller Plaza
 New York, NY 10112-0228
 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

10 July 2001 (10.07.01)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

32709-PCT

IMPORTANT INFORMATION *WAC*

International application No.

PCT/US00/25801

International filing date (day/month/year)

20 September 2000 (20.09.00)

Priority date (day/month/year)

20 September 1999 (20.09.99)

Applicant

THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK et al

1. The applicant is hereby informed that the International Bureau has, according to Article 31(7), notified each of the following Offices of its election:

EP :AT,BE,CH,CY,DE,DK,ES,FI,FR,GB,GR,IE,IT,LU,MC,NL,PT,SE
 National :AU,BG,CA,CN,CZ,DE,IL,JP,KP,KR,MN,NO,NZ,PL,RO,RU,SE,SK,US

2. The following Offices have waived the requirement for the notification of their election; the notification will be sent to them by the International Bureau only upon their request:

AP :GH,GM,KE,LS,MW,MZ,SD,SL,SZ,TZ,UG,ZW

EA :AM,AZ,BY,KG,KZ,MD,RU,TJ,TM

OA :BF,BJ,CF,CG,CI,CM,GA,GN,GW,ML,MR,NE,SN,TD,TG

National :AE,AG,AL,AM,AT,AZ,BA,BB,BR,BY,BZ,CH,CR,CU,DK,DM,DZ,EE,ES,FI,GB,
 GD,GE,GH,GM,HR,HU,IS,KE,KG,KZ,LC,LK,LR,LS,LT,LU,LV,MA,MD,MG,MK,MW,
 MX,MZ,PT,SD,SG,SI,SL,TJ,TM,TR,TT,TZ,UA,UG,UZ,VN,YU,ZA,ZW

3. The applicant is reminded that he must enter the "national phase" before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date before each of the Offices listed above. This must be done by paying the national fee(s) and furnishing, if prescribed, a translation of the international application (Article 39(1)(a)), as well as, where applicable, by furnishing a translation of any annexes of the international preliminary examination report (Article 36(3)(b) and Rule 74.1).

Some offices have fixed time limits expiring later than the above-mentioned time limit. For detailed information about the applicable time limits and the acts to be performed upon entry into the national phase before a particular Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The entry into the European regional phase is postponed until 31 months from the priority date for all States designated for the purposes of obtaining a European patent.

COPY TO

WAC

Authorized officer:

Claudio Borton

The International Bureau of WIPO
 34, chemin des Colombettes
 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Facsimile No. (41-22) 740.14.35

Telephone No. (41-22) 338.83.38

CM

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

FROM: FOREIGN MAIL DESK

WT COOPERATION TREATY

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT THERE ARE
2 PIECES OF MAIL.

WO 01/22728
PCT/US00/25801

32709
RCT

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To: TANG, Henry
Baker Botts LLP
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10112-0228
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE
Docketed
HOT DRAFT
PDA REV

01 APR 10 AM 11:35

NOTICE INFORMING THE APPLICANT OF THE
COMMUNICATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL
APPLICATION TO THE DESIGNATED OFFICES

(PCT Rule 47.1(c), first sentence)

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 29 March 2001 (29.03.01)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference 32709-PCT		IMPORTANT NOTICE
International application No. PCT/US00/25801	International filing date (day/month/year) 20 September 2000 (20.09.00)	Priority date (day/month/year) 20 September 1999 (20.09.99)
Applicant THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK et al		

1. Notice is hereby given that the International Bureau has communicated, as provided in Article 20, the international application to the following designated Offices on the date indicated above as the date of mailing of this Notice:

AU,KP,KR,US

In accordance with Rule 47.1(c), third sentence, those Offices will accept the present Notice as conclusive evidence that the communication of the international application has duly taken place on the date of mailing indicated above and no copy of the international application is required to be furnished by the applicant to the designated Office(s).

2. The following designated Offices have waived the requirement for such a communication at this time:

AE,AG,AL,AM,AP,AT,AZ,BA,BB,BG,BR,BY,BZ,CA,CH,CN,CR,CU,CZ,DE,DK,DM,DZ,EA,EE,EP,ES,
FI,GB,GD,GE,GH,GM,HR,HU,ID,IL,IN,IS,JP,KE,KG,KZ,LC,LK,LR,LS,LT,LU,LV,MA,MD,MG,MK,
MN,MW,MX,MZ,NO,NZ,OA,PL,PT,RO,RU,SD,SE,SG,SI,SK,SL,TJ,TM,TR,TT,TZ,UA,UG,UZ,VN,YU,
The communication will be made to those Offices only upon their request. Furthermore, those Offices do not require the
applicant to furnish a copy of the international application (Rule 49.1(a-bis)).

3. Enclosed with this Notice is a copy of the international application as published by the International Bureau on
29 March 2001 (29.03.01) under No. WO 01/22728

REMINDER REGARDING CHAPTER II (Article 31(2)(a) and Rule 54.2)

If the applicant wishes to postpone entry into the national phase until 30 months (or later in some Offices) from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed with the competent International Preliminary Examining Authority before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date.

It is the applicant's sole responsibility to monitor the 19-month time limit.

Note that only an applicant who is a national or resident of a PCT Contracting State which is bound by Chapter II has the right to file a demand for international preliminary examination.

REMINDER REGARDING ENTRY INTO THE NATIONAL PHASE (Article 22 or 39(1))

If the applicant wishes to proceed with the international application in the national phase, he must, within 20 months or 30 months, or later in some Offices, perform the acts referred to therein before each designated or elected Office.

For further important information on the time limits and acts to be performed for entering the national phase, see the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 (Notification of Receipt of Record Copy) and Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. (41-22) 740.14.35	Authorized officer J. Z. [Signature] Telephone No. (41-22) 338.83.38	Docketed Final S 120/2001 By
--	--	------------------------------------

3914452

Form PCT/IB/308 (July 1996)

Copy in pocket

W

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU
BAKER BOTTS G.L.P.

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF RECEIPT OF
RECORD COPY

(PCT Rule 24.2(a))

To:

01 JAN -2 AM 11:55

TANG, Henry
Baker Botts LLP
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10112-0228
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

*HOTEL D'URK
PDX/MC*

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 20 December 2000 (20.12.00)	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION
Applicant's or agent's file reference 32709-PCT	International application No. PCT/US00/25801

The applicant is hereby notified that the International Bureau has received the record copy of the international application as detailed below.

Name(s) of the applicant(s) and State(s) for which they are applicants:

THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK (for all designated States except US)
NAYAR, Shree, K. et al (for US)

International filing date : 20 September 2000 (20.09.00)
Priority date(s) claimed : 20 September 1999 (20.09.99)
by the International Bureau : 13 December 1999 (13.12.99)

Date of receipt of the record copy by the International Bureau : 12 December 2000 (12.12.00)

List of designated Offices :

AP : GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW
EA : AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM
EP : AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE
OA : BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG
National : AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW

COPY TO

HT 1/3/01

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer: <i>Marie-Thérèse Priser</i> Telephone No. (41-22) 338.83.38
Facsimile No. (41-22) 740.14.35	003732343

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

Continuation of Form PCT/IB/301

NOTIFICATION OF RECEIPT OF RECORD COPY

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 20 December 2000 (20.12.00)	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION
Applicant's or agent's file reference 32709-PCT	International application No. PCT/US00/25801

ATTENTION

The applicant should carefully check the data appearing in this Notification. In case of any discrepancy between these data and the indications in the international application, the applicant should immediately inform the International Bureau.

In addition, the applicant's attention is drawn to the information contained in the Annex, relating to:

- time limits for entry into the national phase
- confirmation of precautionary designations
- requirements regarding priority documents

A copy of this Notification is being sent to the receiving Office and to the International Searching Authority.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

INFORMATION ON TIME LIMITS FOR ENTERING THE NATIONAL PHASE

The applicant is reminded that the "national phase" must be entered before each of the designated Offices indicated in the Notification of Receipt of Record Copy (Form PCT/IB/301) by paying national fees and furnishing translations, as prescribed by the applicable national laws.

The time limit for performing these procedural acts is **20 MONTHS** from the priority date or, for those designated States which the applicant elects in a demand for international preliminary examination or in a later election, **30 MONTHS** from the priority date, provided that the election is made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date. Some designated (or elected) Offices have fixed time limits which expire even later than 20 or 30 months from the priority date. In other Offices an extension of time or grace period, in some cases upon payment of an additional fee, is available.

In addition to these procedural acts, the applicant may also have to comply with other special requirements applicable in certain Offices. It is the applicant's responsibility to ensure that the necessary steps to enter the national phase are taken in a timely fashion. Most designated Offices do not issue reminders to applicants in connection with the entry into the national phase.

For detailed information about the procedural acts to be performed to enter the national phase before each designated Office, the applicable time limits and possible extensions of time or grace periods, and any other requirements, see the relevant Chapters of Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide. Information about the requirements for filing a demand for international preliminary examination is set out in Chapter IX of Volume I of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

GR and ES became bound by PCT Chapter II on 7 September 1996 and 6 September 1997, respectively, and may, therefore, be elected in a demand or a later election filed on or after 7 September 1996 and 6 September 1997, respectively, regardless of the filing date of the international application. (See second paragraph above.)

Note that only an applicant who is a national or resident of a PCT Contracting State which is bound by Chapter II has the right to file a demand for international preliminary examination.

CONFIRMATION OF PRECAUTIONARY DESIGNATIONS

This notification lists only specific designations made under Rule 4.9(a) in the request. It is important to check that these designations are correct. Errors in designations can be corrected where precautionary designations have been made under Rule 4.9(b). The applicant is hereby reminded that any precautionary designations may be confirmed according to Rule 4.9(c) before the expiration of 15 months from the priority date. If it is not confirmed, it will automatically be regarded as withdrawn by the applicant. There will be no reminder and no invitation. Confirmation of a designation consists of the filing of a notice specifying the designated State concerned (with an indication of the kind of protection or treatment desired) and the payment of the designation and confirmation fees. Confirmation must reach the receiving Office within the 15-month time limit.

REQUIREMENTS REGARDING PRIORITY DOCUMENTS

For applicants who have not yet complied with the requirements regarding priority documents, the following is recalled.

Where the priority of an earlier national, regional or international application is claimed, the applicant must submit a copy of the said earlier application, certified by the authority with which it was filed ("the priority document") to the receiving Office (which will transmit it to the International Bureau) or directly to the International Bureau, before the expiration of 16 months from the priority date, provided that any such priority document may still be submitted to the International Bureau before that date of international publication of the international application, in which case that document will be considered to have been received by the International Bureau on the last day of the 16-month time limit (Rule 17.1(a)).

Where the priority document is issued by the receiving Office, the applicant may, instead of submitting the priority document, request the receiving Office to prepare and transmit the priority document to the International Bureau. Such request must be made before the expiration of the 16-month time limit and may be subjected by the receiving Office to the payment of a fee (Rule 17.1(b)).

If the priority document concerned is not submitted to the International Bureau or if the request to the receiving Office to prepare and transmit the priority document has not been made (and the corresponding fee, if any, paid) within the applicable time limit indicated under the preceding paragraphs, any designated State may disregard the priority claim, provided that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.

Where several priorities are claimed, the priority date to be considered for the purposes of computing the 16-month time limit is the filing date of the earliest application whose priority is claimed.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

REC'D 23 APR 2002

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 32709-PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/US00/25801	International filing date (day/month/year) 20 SEPTEMBER 2000	Priority date (day/month/year) 20 SEPTEMBER 1999
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC IPC(7): H04N 5/225 and US Cl.: 348/207		
Applicant THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.

2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets.

This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority. (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of 2 sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- I Basis of the report
- II Priority
- III Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability
- IV Lack of unity of invention
- V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- VI Certain documents cited
- VII Certain defects in the international application
- VIII Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 18 APRIL 2001	Date of completion of this report 05 APRIL 2002
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Authorized officer LUONG NGUYEN Telephone No. (703) 308-9297

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US00/25801

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*

 the international application as originally filed the description:pages 1-31, as originally filed
pages NONE
pages NONE, filed with the demand the claims:pages 32-39, as originally filed
pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of the drawings:pages 1-22, as originally filed
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of the sequence listing part of the description:pages NONE, as originally filed
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language _____ which is:

 the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

 contained in the international application in printed form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages NONE the claims, Nos. NONE the drawings, sheets/fig NONE5. This report has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**

* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).

** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US00/25801

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	38-51	YES
	Claims	1-26	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	38-51	YES
	Claims	1-37	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-51	YES
	Claims	NONE	NO

2. citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Claims 1-26 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Nayar (5,760,826).

Regarding claim 1, Nayar discloses an omnidirectional imaging apparatus 100, comprising receiving rays over a large field of view in a first direction (reflector 130 receives light, figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-65); a small imaging width in a second direction (image sensor 110 receives parallel light rays 145 (figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-65); directing only said portion of said rays upon an area of an image sensor (figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-65).

Regarding claim 2, Nayar discloses wherein said directing includes interposing at least one mirror (reflector 135, figure 1a) and at least one lens (lens 112, figure 1a).

Regarding claim 3, Nayar discloses wherein said directing step distributes said portion of said rays upon the image sensor at points corresponding to a plurality of different radial directions of the large field of view (figure 1a).

Regarding claim 4, Nayar discloses the step of interpolating (column 9, lines 5-20).

Regarding claim 5, Nayar discloses sampling of the interpolated data (column 9, lines 5-20).

Regarding claim 6, Nayar discloses the large field of view is approximately 360 degrees (figure 10).

Regarding claim 7, Nayar discloses the area of the imaging sensor is an annular ring having a radial width (figure 5, column 7, line 62 through column 6, line 20).

Regarding claims 8, 11 and 15, Nayar discloses said directing step distributes said portion of said rays throughout the radial width at points corresponding to a plurality of different radial directions of the large field of view (figure 5, column 7, line 62 through column 6, line 20).

Regarding claim 9, Nayar discloses the large field of view is approximately 180 degrees (figure 1a).

Regarding claim 10, Nayar discloses the area of imaging sensor is a semi-circular annular portion having a radial width (figure 5).

Regarding claim 12, Nayar discloses an omnidirectional (Continued on Supplemental Sheet.)

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII

Sheet 10

V. 2. REASoNED STATEMENTS - CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS (Continued):
 imaging apparatus, acquiring image slice data at the plurality of known rotational positions (figure 9a, column 9, line 55 through column 10, line 22); receiving rays over a large field of view in a first direction (reflector 130 receives light, figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-65); a small imaging width in a second direction (image sensor 110 receives parallel light rays 145 (figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-65); directing only said portion of said rays upon an area of an image sensor (figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-65).

Regarding claim 13, Nayar discloses the step of mapping the omnidirectional image to a display (figure 1a, figure 12, column 10, line 54-64).

Regarding claim 14, Nayar discloses the large field of view is approximately 360 degrees (figure 10); the parallel rays are directed on the image sensor in an annular ring pattern having a radial width (figure 5, column 7, line 62 through column 6, line 20).

Regarding claim 16, Nayar discloses the second direction is orthogonal to the axis of rotation and the parallel rays corresponding to a planar sheet (figures 8, 12, column 10, lines 44-53).

Regarding claim 17, Nayar discloses the second direction is non-orthogonal to the first direction and the parallel rays corresponding to a conical sheet (figure 5).

Regarding claim 18, Nayar discloses conical mirror and telecentric lens arrangement (figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-63).

Regarding claim 19, Nayar discloses using a non-convex mirror in cooperation with an imaging lens (figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-63).

Regarding claim 20, Nayar discloses the step of interpolating between images (figure 12).

Regarding claim 21, Nayar discloses acquiring a plurality of overlapping image strips (figure 9a, column 9, line 55 through column 10, line 22); identifying common features in overlapping regions (figure 12, step 1245, specifying image view, column 10, lines 44-67); combining consecutive image strips (figure 12, step 1260, interpolating step, column 10, lines 44-67); blending the combined images to form omnidirectional image data (figure 12, step 1270, forming a digital image, column 10, lines 44-67).

Regarding claim 22, Nayar discloses mapping the omnidirectional image data to a display (figure 1a, column 9, lines 10-15).

Regarding claim 23, Nayar discloses said plurality of strips is at least 3 strips (figure 1a).

Regarding claim 24, Nayar discloses an imaging sensor (image sensor 110, figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-67); at least one mirror (reflector 135, figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-67); at least one lens (lens 112, figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-67).

Regarding claim 25, Nayar discloses wherein at least one mirror is a conical mirror and said at least one lens is a telecentric lens arrangement (figure 1a).

Regarding claim 26, Nayar discloses at least one mirror is a non-convex mirror and at least one lens is an imaging lens having n entrance pupil (figure 1a).

Claims 27-37 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Nayar (US 5,760,826) in view of Braun (US 5,532,737).

Regarding claim 27, Nayar fails to specifically disclose first and second mirror. However, Braun teaches a camera arrangement with wide field of view, which includes mirror 130 and mirror 160 (figure 3A, column 5, lines 6-48). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Nayar by the teaching of Braun in order to avoid the image reversal problem (column 5, lines 45-48).

Regarding claim 28, Braun discloses wherein the second mirror has a near focus and a far focus and wherein the first mirror section projects said portion of rays through the near focus and the second mirror directs said portion of rays to the image sensor at a position proximate the far focus (figure 3A, column 5, lines 30-48).

Regarding claim 29, Braun discloses the near focus is located in front of the first mirror section and the far focus is located behind the first mirror section (figure 3A).

Regarding claim 30, Braun fails to specifically disclose the second mirror is an ellipsoid mirror. However, it is a

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII

Sheet 11

matter of design choice.

Regarding claim 31, Braun fails to specifically disclose the first mirror is a conical mirror and the second mirror is a parabolic mirror. However, it is a matter of design choice.

Regarding claim 32, Nayar discloses the image sensor is located behind an apex of the conical mirror (figure 1a).

Regarding claim 33, Nayar discloses said portion of said rays are directed upon the imaging sensor at points corresponding to a plurality of different radial directions of the large field of view (figure 1a).

Regarding claim 34, Nayar discloses the large field of view is substantially 360 degrees (figure 10); the area of the imaging sensor in an annular ring having a radial width (figure 5, column 7, line 62 through column 6, line 20).

Regarding claims 35, 37, Nayar discloses wherein said rays are directed throughout the radial width at points corresponding to a plurality of different radial directions of the large field of view (figure 1a).

Regarding claim 36, Nayar discloses wherein the large field of view is a sector less than 360 degrees(figure 1a), the image sensor is a section of an annular ring (figure 1a).

Claims 38-51 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an imaging system for acquiring an omnidirectional mosaic image, comprising a rotational drive system operatively coupled to the image slice camera, the rotational drive system rotating the image slice camera along an axis of rotation substantially parallel to the first direction; a control unit operatively coupled to the rotational drive system and image slice camera, the control unit receiving the image slice data from the image slice camera and recording corresponding rotational positional information of the rotational drive system, the control unit acquiring a plurality of image slices at known rotational positions and concatenating said image slices to form an omnidirectional mosaic image data.

----- NEW CITATIONS -----

NONE

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To: HENRY TANG
BAKER BOTTs LLP
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA
NEW YORK NY 10112-0228

BAKER BOTTs LLP.

PCT

01 NOV -6 1999:21

WRITTEN OPINION

(PCT Rule 66)

TO

~~JK~~

~~JK~~
~~JK~~

Date of Mailing
(day/month/year)

01 NOV 2001

Applicant's or agent's file reference 32709-PCT		REPLY DUE within TWO months from the above date of mailing
International application No. PCT/US00/25801	International filing date (day/month/year) 20 SEPTEMBER 2000	Priority date (day/month/year) 20 SEPTEMBER 1999
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): H04N 5/225 and US Cl.: 348/207		
Applicant THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK		


- This written opinion is the first (first, etc.) drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority.
- This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:
 - I Basis of the opinion
 - II Priority
 - III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability
 - IV Lack of unity of invention
 - V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
 - VI Certain documents cited
 - VII Certain defects in the international application
 - VIII Certain observations on the international application
- The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.

When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d).

How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.

Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.

If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.
- The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 20 JANUARY 2002

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231	Authorized officer LUONG NGUYEN Telephone No. (703) 308-9287
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US00/25801

I. Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*

 the international application as originally filed the description:pages 1-31, as originally filed
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of the claims:pages 32-39, as originally filed
pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of the drawings:pages 1-22, as originally filed
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of the sequence listing part of the description:pages NONE, as originally filed
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language _____ which is:

the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
 the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
 the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:

contained in the international application in printed form.
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
 furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
 The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
 The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

the description, pages NONE
 the claims, Nos. NONE
 the drawings, sheets/fig. NONE

5. This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).

* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed".

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	<u>38-51</u>	YES
	Claims	<u>1-26</u>	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	<u>38-51</u>	YES
	Claims	<u>1-37</u>	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	<u>1-51</u>	YES
	Claims	<u>NONE</u>	NO

2. citations and explanations

Claims 1-26 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Nayar (5,760,826).

Regarding claim 1, Nayar discloses an omnidirectional imaging apparatus 100, comprising receiving rays over a large field of view in a first direction (reflector 190 receives light, figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-65); a small imaging width in a second direction (image sensor 110 receives parallel light rays 145 (figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-65); directing only said portion of said rays upon an area of an image sensor (figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-65).

Regarding claim 2, Nayar discloses wherein said directing includes interposing at least one mirror (reflector 185, figure 1a) and at least one lens (lens 112, figure 1a).

Regarding claim 3, Nayar discloses wherein said directing step distributes said portion of said rays upon the image sensor at points corresponding to a plurality of different radial directions of the large field of view (figure 1a).

Regarding claim 4, Nayar discloses the step of interpolating (column 9, lines 5-20).

Regarding claim 5, Nayar discloses sampling of the interpolated data (column 9, lines 5-20).

Regarding claim 6, Nayar discloses the large field of view is approximately 360 degrees (figure 10).

Regarding claim 7, Nayar discloses the area of the imaging sensor is an annular ring having a radial width (figure 5, column 7, line 62 through column 6, line 20).

Regarding claims 8, 11 and 15, Nayar discloses said directing step distributes said portion of said rays throughout the radial width at points corresponding to a plurality of different radial directions of the large field of view (figure 5, column 7, line 62 through column 6, line 20).

Regarding claim 9, Nayar discloses the large field of view is approximately 180 degrees (figure 1a).

Regarding claim 10, Nayar discloses the area of imaging sensor is a semi-circular annular portion having a radial width (figure 5).

Regarding claim 12, Nayar discloses an omnidirectional (Continued on Supplemental Sheet.)

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII

Sheet 10

TIME LIMIT:

The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.

V. 2. REASONED STATEMENTS - CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS (Continued):

imaging apparatus, acquiring image slice data at the plurality of known rotational positions (figure 9a, column 9, line 55 through column 10, line 22); receiving rays over a large field of view in a first direction (reflector 130 receives light, figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-65); a small imaging width in a second direction (image sensor 110 receives parallel light rays 145 (figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-65); directing only said portion of said rays upon an area of an image sensor (figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-65).

Regarding claim 13, Nayar discloses the step of mapping the omnidirectional image to a display (figure 1a, figure 12, column 10, line 54-64).

Regarding claim 14, Nayar discloses the large field of view is approximately 360 degrees (figure 10); the parallel rays are directed on the image sensor in an annular ring pattern having a radial width (figure 5, column 7, line 62 through column 6, line 20).

Regarding claim 16, Nayar discloses the second direction is orthogonal to the axis of rotation and the parallel rays corresponding to a planar sheet (figures 8, 12, column 10, lines 44-53).

Regarding claim 17, Nayar discloses the second direction is non-orthogonal to the first direction and the parallel rays corresponding to a conical sheet (figure 5).

Regarding claim 18, Nayar discloses conical mirror and telecentric lens arrangement (figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-63).

Regarding claim 19, Nayar discloses using a non-convex mirror in cooperation with an imaging lens (figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-63).

Regarding claim 20, Nayar discloses the step of interpolating between images (figure 12).

Regarding claim 21, Nayar discloses acquiring a plurality of overlapping image strips (figure 9a, column 9, line 55 through column 10, line 22); identifying common features in overlapping regions (figure 12, step 1245, specifying image view, column 10, lines 44-67); combining consecutive image strips (figure 12, step 1260, interpolating step, column 10, lines 44-67); blending the combined images to form omnidirectional image data (figure 12, step 1270, forming a digital image, column 10, lines 44-67).

Regarding claim 22, Nayar discloses mapping the omnidirectional image data to a display (figure 1a, column 9, lines 10-15).

Regarding claim 23, Nayar discloses said plurality of strips is at least 3 strips (figure 1a).

Regarding claim 24, Nayar discloses an imaging sensor (image sensor 110, figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-67); at least one mirror (reflector 135, figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-67); at least one lens (lens 112, figure 1a, column 5, lines 45-67).

Regarding claim 25, Nayar discloses wherein at least one mirror is a conical mirror and said at least one lens is a telecentric lens arrangement (figure 1a).

Regarding claim 26, Nayar discloses at least one mirror is a non-convex mirror and at least one lens is an imaging lens having n entrance pupil (figure 1a).

Claims 27-37 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Nayar (US 5,760,826) in view of Braun (US 5,532,737).

Regarding claim 27, Nayar fails to specifically disclose first and second mirror. However, Braun teaches a camera arrangement with wide field of view, which includes mirror 130 and mirror 160 (figure 3A, column 5, lines 6-48). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII

Sheet 11

Nayar by the teaching of Braun in order to avoid the image reversal problem (column 5, lines 45-48).

Regarding claim 28, Braun discloses wherein the second mirror has a near focus and a far focus and wherein the first mirror section projects said portion of rays through the near focus and the second mirror directs said portion of rays to the image sensor at a position proximate the far focus (figure 3A, column 5, lines 30-48).

Regarding claim 29, Braun discloses the near focus is located in front of the first mirror section and the far focus is located behind the first mirror section (figure 3A).

Regarding claim 30, Braun fails to specifically disclose the second mirror is an ellipsoid mirror. However, it is a matter of design choice.

Regarding claim 31, Braun fails to specifically disclose the first mirror is a conical mirror and the second mirror is a parabolic mirror. However, it is a matter of design choice.

Regarding claim 32, Nayar discloses the image sensor is located behind an apex of the conical mirror (figure 1a).

Regarding claim 33, Nayar discloses said portion of said rays are directed upon the imaging sensor at points corresponding to a plurality of different radial directions of the large field of view (figure 1a).

Regarding claim 34, Nayar discloses the large field of view is substantially 360 degrees (figure 10); the area of the imaging sensor in an annular ring having a radial width (figure 5, column 7, line 62 through column 6, line 20).

Regarding claims 35, 37, Nayar discloses wherein said rays are directed throughout the radial width at points corresponding to a plurality of different radial directions of the large field of view (figure 1a).

Regarding claim 36, Nayar discloses wherein the large field of view is a sector less than 360 degrees (figure 1a), the image sensor is a section of an annular ring (figure 1a).

Claims 38-51 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an imaging system for acquiring an omnidirectional mosaic image, comprising a rotational drive system operatively coupled to the image slice camera, the rotational drive system rotating the image slice camera along an axis of rotation substantially parallel to the first direction; a control unit operatively coupled to the rotational drive system and image slice camera, the control unit receiving the image slice data from the image slice camera and recording corresponding rotational positional information of the rotational drive system, the control unit acquiring a plurality of image slices at known rotational positions and concatenating said image slices to form an omnidirectional mosaic image data.

----- NEW CITATIONS -----

NONE

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 32709-PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	see Notification of Transmittal of International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No. PCT/US00/25801	International filing date (day/month/year) 20 SEPTEMBER 2000	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 20 SEPTEMBER 1999
Applicant THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK		

This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.

This international search report consists of a total of 4 sheets.

It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.

1. Basis of the report

a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).

b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

contained in the international application in written form.

filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.

furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.

the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.

the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

2. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).

3. Unity of invention is lacking (See Box II).

4. With regard to the title,

the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.

the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:

5. With regard to the abstract,

the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.

the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.

6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 10

as suggested by the applicant.

because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.

because this figure better characterizes the invention.

None of the figures.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

Box III TEXT OF THE ABSTRACT (Continuation of item 5 of the first sheet)

NEW ABSTRACT

Systems and methods for generating an omnidirectional mosaic image are presented in which a number of images are acquired about an axis of rotational. The images have a large field of view along the axis of rotation and a small field of view or image width in a second direction. The images can be image strips, formed from non-parallel rays directed onto an image sensor (1008), which are formed from a narrow width of parallel rays directed onto imaging sensor (1008). The images are combined to form a spherical mosaic. In the case of overlapping image strips, image combination can be performed by identifying common features in the overlapping regions and aligning consecutive image strips accordingly. A blending algorithm can then be used to improve image fidelity in the overlapping regions.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

**TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE
UNITED STATES RECEIVING OFFICE**

Date	18 April 2001
International Application	PCT/US00/25801
Attorney Docket No.	32709-PCT

I. Certification under 37 CFR 1.10 (if applicable)

EF321686242US
Express Mail mailing number

18 April 2001
Date of Deposit

I hereby certify that the application/correspondence attached hereto is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.


Signature of person mailing correspondence

Leroy Chick
Typed or printed name of person mailing correspondence

II. New International Application

TITLE	
-------	--

Earliest priority date (Day/Month/Year)

SCREENING DISCLOSURE INFORMATION: In order to assist in screening the accompanying international application for purposes of determining whether a license for foreign transmittal should and could be granted and for other purposes, the following information is supplied. (Note: check as many boxes as apply):

- A. The invention disclosed was not made in the United States.
- B. There is no prior U.S. application relating to this invention.
- C. The following prior U.S. application(s) contain subject matter which is related to the invention disclosed in the attached international application. (NOTE: priority to these applications may or may not be claimed on form PCT/RO/101 (Request) and this listing does not constitute a claim for priority).

application no.		filed on	
application no.		filed on	

- D. The present international application is identical contains less subject matter than that found in the prior U.S. application(s) identified in paragraph C.
- E. The present international application contains additional subject matter not found in the prior U.S. application(s) identified in paragraph C. above. The additional subject matter is found on pages and **DOES NOT ALTER** **MIGHT BE CONSIDERED TO ALTER** the general nature of the invention in a manner which would require the U.S. application to have been made available for inspection by the appropriate defense agencies under 35 U.S.C. 181 and 37 CFR 5.1. See 37 CFR 5.15

III. A Response to an Invitation from the RO/US. The following document(s) is (are) enclosed:

- A. A Request for An Extension of Time to File a Response
- B. A Power of Attorney (General or Regular)
- C. Replacement pages:

pages	of the request (PCT/RO/101)	pages		of the figures
pages	of the description	pages		of the abstract
pages	of the claims			

- D. Submission of Priority Documents


Priority document	Priority document
-------------------	-------------------

- E. Fees as specified on attached Fee Calculation sheet form PCT/RO/101 annex

IV. A Request for Rectification under PCT 91 A Petition A Sequence Listing Diskette

- V. Other (please specify):** Demand for International Preliminary Examination (3 sheets), Fee Calculation Sheet, a Communication, a postcard and a check in the amount of \$627.

The person
signing this
form is the:

<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant	Paul D. Ackerman
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Attorney/Agent (Reg. No.) 39,891	Typed name of signer
<input type="checkbox"/> Common Representative	
	Signature

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

The demand must be filed directly with the competent International Preliminary Examining Authority or, if two or more Authorities are with the one chosen by the applicant. The full name or two-letter code of that Authority may be indicated by the applicant on the line IPEA/ US

PCT

CHAPTER II

DEMAND

under Article 31 of the Patent Cooperation Treaty:

The undersigned requests that the international application specified below be the subject of international preliminary examination according to the Patent Cooperation Treaty and hereby elects all eligible States (except where otherwise indicated).

For International Preliminary Examining Authority use only

Identification of IPEA	Date of receipt of DEMAND
Box No. I IDENTIFICATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION	
International application No. PCT/US00/25801	International filing date (day/month/year) 20 September 2000 (20.09.00)
(Earliest) Priority date (day/month/year) 20 September 1999 (20.09.99)	
Title of invention SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR GENERATING SPHERICAL MOSAIC IMAGES	
Box No. II APPLICANT(S)	
Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal entity, full official designation. The address must include postal code and name of country.) THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK 116th Street and Broadway New York, NY 10027 US	Telephone No.: Facsimile No.: Teleprinter No.:
State (that is, country) of nationality: US	State (that is, country) of residence: US
Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal entity, full official designation. The address must include postal code and name of country.) NAYAR, SHREE K. 560 Riverside Drive, Apt. 15D New York, NY 10027 US	
State (that is, country) of nationality: IN	State (that is, country) of residence: US
Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal entity, full official designation. The address must include postal code and name of country.) KARMARKAR, AMRUTA 1916 Cambridge Court, Apt. 2B Palatine, IL 60074 US	
State (that is, country) of nationality: IN	State (that is, country) of residence: US
<input type="checkbox"/> Further applicants are indicated on a continuation sheet.	

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

Box No. III AGENT OR COMMON REPRESENTATIVE; OR ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE

The following person is agent common representative

and has been appointed earlier and represents the applicant(s) also for international preliminary examination.

is hereby appointed and any earlier appointment of (an) agent(s) /common representative is hereby revoked.

is hereby appointed, specifically for the procedure before the International Preliminary Examining Authority, in addition to the agent(s)/common representative appointed earlier.

Name and address: (Family name followed by given name; for a legal entity, full official
The address must include postal code and name of country.)

Telephone No.:
(212) 705-5000

TANG, HENRY and
ACKERMAN, PAUL D.
Baker Botts LLP
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10112-0228
US

Facsimile No.:
(212) 705-5020

Teleprinter No.:

Address for correspondence: Mark this check-box where no agent or common representative is/has been appointed and the space above is used instead to indicate a special address to which correspondence should be sent.

Box No. IV BASIS FOR INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION

Statement concerning amendments:*

1. The applicant wishes the international preliminary examination to start on the basis of:

the international application as originally filed.

the description as originally filed
 as amended under Article 34

the claims as originally filed
 as amended under Article 19 (together with any accompanying statement)
 as amended under Article 34

the drawings as originally filed
 as amended under Article 34

2. The applicant wishes any amendment to the claims under Article 19 to be considered as reversed.

3. The applicant wishes the start of the international preliminary examination to be postponed until the expiration of 20 months from the priority date unless the International Preliminary Examining Authority receives a copy of any amendments made under Article 19 or a notice from the applicant that he does not wish to make such amendments (Rule 69.1(d)). (This check-box may be marked only where the time limit under Article 19 has not yet expired.)

* Where no check-box is marked, international preliminary examination will start on the basis of the international application as originally filed or, where a copy of amendments to the claims under Article 19 and/or amendments of the international application under Article 34 are received by the International Preliminary Examining Authority before it has begun to draw up a written opinion or the international preliminary examination report, as so amended.

Language for the purposes of international preliminary examination: English

which is the language in which the international application was filed.
 which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search.
 which is the language of publication of the international application.
 which is the language of the translation (to be) furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination.

Box No. V ELECTION OF STATES

The applicant hereby elects all eligible States (that is, all States which have been designated and which are bound by Chapter II of the PCT)

excluding the following States which the applicant wishes not to elect:

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

Box No. VI CHECK LIST

The demand is accompanied by the following elements, in the language referred to in Box No. IV, for the purposes of international preliminary examination:

			For International Preliminary Examining Authority use only	
			received	not received
1. translation of international application	:	sheets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. amendments under Article 34	:	sheets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. copy (or where required, translation) of amendments under Article 19	:	sheets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. copy (or, where required, translation) of statement under Article 19	:	sheets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. letter	:	sheets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. other (specify)	:	sheets	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

The demand is also accompanied by the item(s) marked below:

1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> fee calculation sheet	4. <input type="checkbox"/> statement explaining lack of signature
2. <input type="checkbox"/> separate signed power of attorney	5. <input type="checkbox"/> nucleotide and or amino acid sequence listing in computer readable form
3. <input type="checkbox"/> copy of general power of attorney; reference number, if any:	6. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> other (specify): Transmittal Letter and Communication

Box No. VII SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, AGENT OR COMMON REPRESENTATIVE

Next to each signature, indicate the name of the person signing and the capacity in which the person signs (if such capacity is not obvious from reading the demand).



Paul D. Ackerman (Agent)

For International Preliminary Examining Authority use only

1. Date of actual receipt of DEMAND:
2. Adjusted date of receipt of demand due to CORRECTIONS under Rule 60.1(b):
3. The date of receipt of the demand is AFTER the expiration of 19 months from the priority date and item 4 or 5, below, does not apply. The applicant has been informed accordingly.
4. The date of receipt of the demand is WITHIN the period of 19 months from the priority date as extended by virtue of Rule 80.5.
5. Although the date of receipt of the demand is after the expiration of 19 months from the priority date, the delay in arrival is EXCUSED pursuant to Rule 82.

For International Bureau use only

Demand received from IPEA on:

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

PCT

FEE CALCULATION SHEET

Annex to the Demand for international preliminary examination

International application No.	PCT/US00/25801	For International Preliminary Examining Authority use only									
Applicant's or agent's file reference	32709-PCT	Date stamp of the IPEA									
Applicant THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK											
Calculation of prescribed fees <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 70%;">1. Preliminary examination fee</td> <td style="width: 10%; text-align: right;">490.00</td> <td style="width: 20%; text-align: right;">P</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. Handling fee <i>(Applicants from certain States are entitled to a reduction of 75% of the handling fee. Where the applicant is (or all applicants are) so entitled, the amount to be entered at H is 25% of the handling fee.)</i></td> <td style="text-align: right;">137.00</td> <td style="text-align: right;">H</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. Total of prescribed fees Add the amounts entered at P and H and enter total in the TOTAL box</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-top: 1px solid black; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">627.00</td> <td style="text-align: right; border-top: 1px solid black; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">TOTAL</td> </tr> </table>			1. Preliminary examination fee	490.00	P	2. Handling fee <i>(Applicants from certain States are entitled to a reduction of 75% of the handling fee. Where the applicant is (or all applicants are) so entitled, the amount to be entered at H is 25% of the handling fee.)</i>	137.00	H	3. Total of prescribed fees Add the amounts entered at P and H and enter total in the TOTAL box	627.00	TOTAL
1. Preliminary examination fee	490.00	P									
2. Handling fee <i>(Applicants from certain States are entitled to a reduction of 75% of the handling fee. Where the applicant is (or all applicants are) so entitled, the amount to be entered at H is 25% of the handling fee.)</i>	137.00	H									
3. Total of prescribed fees Add the amounts entered at P and H and enter total in the TOTAL box	627.00	TOTAL									
Mode of Payment <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"><input type="checkbox"/> authorization to charge deposit account with the IPEA (see below)</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/> cash</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> cheque</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/> revenue stamps</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> postal money order</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/> coupons</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> bank draft</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/> other (specify):</td> </tr> </table>			<input type="checkbox"/> authorization to charge deposit account with the IPEA (see below)	<input type="checkbox"/> cash	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> cheque	<input type="checkbox"/> revenue stamps	<input type="checkbox"/> postal money order	<input type="checkbox"/> coupons	<input type="checkbox"/> bank draft	<input type="checkbox"/> other (specify):	
<input type="checkbox"/> authorization to charge deposit account with the IPEA (see below)	<input type="checkbox"/> cash										
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> cheque	<input type="checkbox"/> revenue stamps										
<input type="checkbox"/> postal money order	<input type="checkbox"/> coupons										
<input type="checkbox"/> bank draft	<input type="checkbox"/> other (specify):										
Deposit Account Authorization <i>(this mode of payment may not be available at all IPEAs)</i>											
The IPEA/ <u>US</u> <input type="checkbox"/> is hereby authorized to charge the total fees indicated above to my deposit account.											
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>(this check-box may be marked only if the conditions for deposit accounts of the IPEA so permit)</i> is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment in the total fees indicated above to my deposit account.											
02-4377	18 April 2001										
Deposit Account Number	Date (day/month/year)	Signature									

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

01 FEB 27 PM 2:59

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: HENRY TANG
BAKER BOTTS LLP
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA
NEW YORK NY 10112-0228

PCT

TO

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF
THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
OR THE DECLARATION

(PCT Rule 44.1)

Date of Mailing
(day/month/year)

22 FEB 2001

Applicant's or agent's file reference
32709-PCT

FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below

International application No.
PCT/US00/25801International filing date
(day/month/year)

20 SEPTEMBER 2000

Applicant
THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

1. The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report has been established and is transmitted herewith.

Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:

The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the international application (see Rule 46):

When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.

Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO
34, chemin des Colombettes
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland
Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

Docketed

For 12212001By

For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.

2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect is transmitted herewith.

3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:

the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.
 no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.

4. Further action(s): The applicant is reminded of the following:

Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in rules 90 bis 1 and 90 bis 3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.

Within 19 months from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later).

Within 20 months from the priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before all designated Offices which have not been elected in the demand or in a later election within 19 months from the priority date or could not be elected because they are not bound by Chapter II.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer
LUONG NGUYEN
Telephone No. (703) 308-9297

(See notes on accompanying sheet)

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

1. [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
"Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
2. [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]:
"Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
"Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
"Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:
"Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

The statement should be brief, it should not exceed 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confounded with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It should not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

In what language?

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is published. The letter and any statement accompanying the amendments must be in the same language as the international application if that language is English or French; otherwise, it must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed?

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase?

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)